## PIE_ROM Manual

## HP-41 Module



## Introduction and Credits.

This HP-41 module provides a short collection of functions and routines dedicated to the two mostfamous irrational numbers in math: number pi and number e. With just a 10-digit mantissa capability the HP41 platform surely isn't the natural choice for ground-breaking, never-before covered methods and approaches to the calculation of these numbers - remember: our trusty Coconut "believes that $\pi$ is a rational number equal to 104348/33215). Nevertheless, there's still room for interesting exercises and ingenious approaches to work-around such platform limitations.

Several MCODE functions and short FOCAL routines are provided mainly as programming exercises; that is application examples using general techniques like Continued Fractions or making use of other fields like integration, random numbers and nested radicals - always applied to the pi/e subject.

In the "-Pi DIGITS" section the module includes all relevant programs on this subject known to the author published in different magazines, books, and forums - in what should be a comprehensive archive of available material on this topic. In particular the MCODE function MDOP written by Peter Platzer, is a remarkable implementation even if it requires Q -RAM to hold the results, so dust off your HEPAX RAM for the task.

In terms of the sources used, the usual suspects are to blame: PPC Journals (see Ron Knapp's classic programs), application books and user forums. Very special thanks to Valentín Albillo for his seminal and always original contributions along the years, a real powerhouse on this and many other math subjects. Many thanks to Gerson W. Barbosa, Jean-Marc Baillard, Thomas Klemm, Benoit Maag and everybody contributing to the MoHP forum on this subject. As a wise man once said, "if something works as expected it's their credit, if it doesn't it's my fault".

Dependencies.
Lastly, note that some programs use functions from the SandMath - which in turn needs the Library\#4 as well. This dependency is more than justified to enable the venerable 41 platform to use RCL math functions (for direct compatibility with HP-42 code); and to apply off-the-beaten-path approaches using hyperbolic functions, CROOT solver, AGM and FLOOR, as well as to benefit from the remarkable Continued Fractions MCODE implementation written by Greg McClure, also available in that module.

General references:
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Approximations_of_\�\�\#Gregory\�\�\�Leibniz_series https://mathworld.wolfram.com/PiApproximations.html

Without further ado, here is a list of the functions in the Main FAT table.

| XROM\# | Function | Description | Author |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09.00 | -PI/E ROM | Section Header | $n / a$ |
| 09.01 | " $\Sigma 3$ PI | Madhava Alternating Series | Thomas Klemm |
| 09.02 | "GBPI | Gerson's Pi formula | Barbosa-Martin |
| 09.03 | E2PI | From e to $\pi$ | Á. Martin |
| 09.04 | LIUHUI | Liu Hui's Pi formula | Á. Martin |
| 09.05 | "LNPI | Ramanujan Ln-based $\pi$ formula | Á. Martin |
| 09.06 | "MCE | Monte-Carlo method for e | Albillo-Martin |
| 09.07 | "MCPI | Monte-Carlo method for $\pi$ | Albillo-Martin |
| 09.08 | "MYPI | 10-digit $\pi$ using an AGM closed-form | Á. Martin |
| 09.09 | "PICUBE | $\pi$ from cubic equation root | Albillo-Martin |
| 09.10 | PI2E | From $\pi$ to e | Á. Martin |
| 09.11 | "PIFL | $\pi$ using a FLOOR loop | Valentín Albillo |
| 09.12 | PISIN | $\pi$ using a SIN loop | Á. Martin |
| 09.13 | PPIE | Valentín's Product formula w/ correction | Á. Martin |
| 09.14 | RAMA10 | Ramanujan formula (10-digit accuracy) | Á. Martin |
| 09.15 | "SBPI | Salamin-Brent Algorithm - based on AGM | Á. Martin |
| 09.16 | "VAPI | $\pi$ using a corrected Leibnitz series | Valentín Albillo |
| 09.17 | VIETA | Viete's formula | Á. Martin |
| 09.18 | WALLIS | Wallis formula ( n in X) | Á. Martin |
| 09.19 | "WPI | Wallis formula - V2 | JM Baillard |
| 09.20 | "WPIH | Wallis formula w/ hyperbolics | Werner |
| 09.21 | "WWPI | Wallis-Wasicki Formula | Gerson W. Barbosa |
| 09.22 | -PIE DIGITS | Section header | $n / a$ |
| 09.23 | EB | Erdós-Borwein constant | Á. Martin |
| 09.24 | IROUND | Integer Round | Á. Martin |
| 09.25 | MDOP _ _ _" | Many Digits of $\pi$ - Spigot algorithm | Peter Platzer |
| 09.26 | "PI1K | $\pi$ to 1,000 digits | Ron Knapp |
| 09.27 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { "E2900 } \\ & \text { "R } \end{aligned}$ | E to 2,900 digits Result output | Ron Knapp |
| 09.28 |  |  | Ron Knapp |
| 09.29 | "PIDIG | $\pi$ up to 1,590 digits | Jean-Marc Baillard |
| 09.30 | "EZHAL | E to 1,143 digits | Eckard Gehrke |
| 09.31 | "PIZHAL "OUT | $\pi$ to 800 digits - Machin's method Output results | Eckard Gehrke |
| 09.32 |  |  | Eckard Gehrke |
| 09.33 | " $\Sigma 2 \mathrm{PI}$ | $\pi$ digits | Benoit Maag |
| 09.34 | -CONT FRAC | Section header | $n / a$ |
| 09.35 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { "CFE } \\ & \text { "*E } \end{aligned}$ | Continued Fractions for e Auxiliary for "CFE | Martin-McClure |
| 09.36 |  |  | Á. Martin |
| 09.37 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { "CFPI } \\ & \text { "PO } \end{aligned}$ | Continued Fractions for $\pi$ Auxiliary for "CFPI | Martin-McClure |
| 09.38 |  |  | Á. Martin |
| 09.39 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { "CFP1 } \\ & \text { "P1 } \end{aligned}$ | Continued Fractions for $\pi$ - version 1 Auxiliary for "CFP1 | Martin-McClure |
| 09.40 |  |  | Á. Martin |
| 09.41 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { "CWPI } \\ & \text { "*WP } \end{aligned}$ | Wallis-adjusted CF for $\pi$ Auxiliary for "CWPI | Martin-McClure |
| 09.42 |  |  | Á. Martin |
| 09.43 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { "PITG } \\ \text { "*I } \end{array}$ | $\pi$ by simple integration Integrand function | Á. Martin |
| 09.44 |  |  | Á. Martin |

## Pi Approximations

The module includes a few short functions based on well-known pi approximations. There are literally hundreds of them (see for instance Pi Approximations -- from Wolfram MathWorld) but I've chosen those meaningful to the HP-41 platform in terms of decimal digits and somewhat the available function set and CPU speed.

| Function | Description | Input | Output |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LIUHUI | Liu Hui's formula | none | 3,141590529 |
| RAMA10 | Ramanujan formula (10-digit) | none | 3,141592654 |
| E2PI | From e to $\pi$ | none | 3,141592653 |
| PI2E | From $\pi$ to e | none | 2,718281828 |
| PISIN | SIN-based iterations | none | 3.141592654 |
| VIETA | Viete's formula | none | 3,141592654 |
| "PICUBE | $\pi$ as root of cubic equation | none | 3.141592654 |
| "PIFL | FLOOR-based iterations | n in X | Function of n |
| "PITG | INTEG-based calculation | FIX-9 | 3.141592654 |
| " $\mathbf{\Sigma 3 P I}$ | Madhava Series | none | 3.141592654 |
| " GBPI | Gerson's formula (e-based) | none | 3.141592654 |

They're described below.

- RAMA10 uses one of the many Ramanujan's approximations of pi, correct to 10 decimal digits. It requires no input. The result is placed in $X$ and the stack is lifted (unless CPU F11 is clear)

$$
\pi \approx \approx \frac{355}{113}\left(1-\frac{0.0003}{3533}\right)
$$

$$
\text { XEQ "RAMA10" => } 3,14459554 \quad \text { (in FIX 9) }
$$

- LIUHUI uses Liu Hui's formula to calculate an approximation of pi, correct to 5 decimal digits. It requires no input. The result is placed in X, the stack is lifted (unless CPU F11 is clear)
$\pi \approx 768 \sqrt{2-\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2+1}}}}}}}}}$
$\approx 3.141590463236763$.

$$
\text { XEQ " LIUHUI" }=>\quad 3,1415905 c 9 \quad \text { (in FIX 9) }
$$

- VIETA uses Viete's formula for the calculation, a more accurate one in that is returns a correct value to the $11^{\text {th }}$. decimal digit (although this is not taken advantage of on the HP41 or course).

$$
\frac{2}{\pi}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2}}}{2} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2}}}}{2} \cdots
$$

The FOCAL program listed below would be equivalent to the MCODE implementations of VIETA and LIUHUI. No data registers are used but ALPHA registers M,N are needed. Refer to the appendix section of the manual for the details on the MCODE implementation.

| 1 | LBL "VIETA" |  | 1 | LBL "LIUHUI" |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | E |  | 2 | 8 | \# ot iters |
| 3 | STO M | initial term | 3 | ENTER ${ }^{\wedge}$ |  |
| 4 | STO N | initial result | 4 | E | initial value |
| 5 | LBL 00 |  | 5 | LBL $00<$ |  |
| 6 | RCL M |  | 6 | 2 |  |
| 7 | 0 | loop result | 7 | + |  |
| 8 | LBL $01 \leftarrow$ |  | 8 | SQRT |  |
| 9 | 2 |  | 9 | DSE Y |  |
| 10 | $+$ | add to previous | 10 | GTO 00 |  |
| 11 | SQRT | square root | 11 | CHS | final term |
| 12 | DSE Y | repeat loop term | 12 | 2 | is negative |
| 13 | GTO 01 | until all done | 13 | + |  |
| 14 | 2 | divide by 2 | 14 | SQRT |  |
| 15 | / |  | 15 | 768 |  |
| 16 | RCL N | partial product | 16 | - |  |
| 17 | - | updated | 17 | END |  |
| 18 | FS? 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | VIEW X | show if F10 set |  |  |  |
| 20 | $\mathrm{X}<>\mathrm{N}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | RCL N |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | - | delta |  |  |  |
| 23 | $\mathrm{X}=0$ ? | delta=zero? |  |  |  |
| 24 | GTO 02 | yes, exit |  |  |  |
| 25 | ISG M | do next term |  |  |  |
| 26 | NOP |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | GTO 00 |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | LBL 02 ¢ |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | RCL N |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 1/X |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | ST+ X |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | CLD |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | END |  |  |  |  |

The next three functions are taken from one of Valentín Albillo's famous challenges (see: "HP Challenge VA511-2020-03-14 - SRC 006 Pi Day 2020 Special'),

The Function PISIN uses a SIN-based iterative method to estimate p . The method is a very simple one, and it's also highly efficient: starting with the value 3, only three iterations already achieve a 10-digit accuracy in the result.

See on the right the short \& sweet user code routine (who said FOCAL wasn't efficient?), which is equivalent to the MCODE code implemented in the module, also shown below for your reference.

| 1 | LBL "PISIN" |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | RAD |  |
| 3 | 3 | initial value |
| 4 | ENTERA $^{\text {A }}$ | also \# of iters |
| 5 | LBL 00 |  |
| 6 | SIN |  |
| 7 | LASTX |  |
| 8 | + | $x+\sin (x)$ |
| 9 | DSE Y |  |
| 10 | GTO 00 | do next |
| 11 | RTN | done. |




- The routine PIFL is based on a FLOOR algorithm. Although it shares with the previous one to be short in code length, its efficiency is drastically worse: it takes quite a large number of iterations to achieve a decent accuracy, as the table below shows. For obvious reasons a TURBO-50 CL or better yet, V41 in turbo mode are recommended.

| \# of terms | Result |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10 |  |
| 100 |  |
| 1,000 | 3. 199807509 |
| 10,000 | 3.4413989 |
| 100,000 |  |

- On the other hand, PICUBE uses a "tuned" cubic equation as the basis for the calculation. It is quite fast as no iterations are needed and because it uses the SandMath's CROOT (in MCODE) to obtain the real root of the equation.

Let xo be the real root of:
$x^{\wedge} 3-6 x^{\wedge} 2+4 x-2=0$
then:

$$
\pi=24 . \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{x} 0) / \operatorname{sqrt}(163)
$$

XEQ "PICUBE" $=>\quad 3.141592554$

| 1 | LBL "PIFL" |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | STO 00 | $n$ |
| 3 | E |  |
| 4 | - | n-1 |
| 5 | $2 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 2.003 |
| 6 | + | $(\mathrm{n}+1) .003$ |
| 7 | RCL 00 | $n$ |
| 8 | LBL $01 \leftarrow$ |  |
| 9 | RCL Y | k,003 |
| 10 | INT | k |
| 11 | CHS | -k |
| 12 | / | $-n / k$ |
| 13 | LASTX | -k |
| 14 | X<>Y |  |
| 15 | FLOOR | floor( $-\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{k}$ ) |
| 16 | - | - ${ }^{*}$ floor( $-n / k$ ) |
| 17 | DSE Y | $n=n-1$ |
| 18 | GTO 01 |  |
| 19 | 1/X | 1/result |
| 20 | RCL 00 | $n$ |
| 21 | $\mathrm{X}^{\wedge} 2$ | $n^{\wedge} 2$ |
| 22 | $\bullet$ | $n^{\wedge} 2$ / Result |
| 23 | END | done. |


| 1 | LBL "PICUBE" |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $E$ | enter the three |
| 3 | ENTER^ $^{\wedge}$ | coefficientes |
| 4 | -6 |  |
| 5 | ENTER^ $^{\wedge}$ |  |
| 6 | 4 |  |
| 7 | ENTER^ $^{\wedge}$ |  |
| 8 | -2 |  |
| 9 | CROOT |  |
| 10 | RDN | discard the twol |
| 11 | RDN | non-real roots |
| 12 | LN |  |
| 13 | 24 | do the math |
| 14 | - | to end. |
| 15 | 163 |  |
| 16 | SQRT |  |
| 17 | $/$ |  |
| 18 | END |  |
|  |  |  |

Pi using Madhava Alternating Series

## E3PI

See https://www.hpmuseum.org/forum/thread-18129.htm/
The series expression is as follows:

$$
\frac{\pi}{6}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(1-\frac{1}{3^{1} \cdot 3}+\frac{1}{3^{2} \cdot 5}-\frac{1}{3^{3} \cdot 7}+\cdots\right)
$$

An interesting expression by itself that proves to be elusive in its implementation due to its alternating character - one of the known weak points of this computing platform.

Fortunately, Thomas Klemm provided a capable HP-42 version that has been added to the ROM. I've preset the number of terms to 43 , as per his findings in the thread referenced above.

```
01 - LBL "\Sigma3PI"
0243 10 X<>Y
03 - LBL 00
04 1/X
0 5 ~ L A S T X ~
06 X<> ST Z
07 3
08\div
09 -
X<>Y
12 -
13 X>0?
14 GTO 00
15 R\downarrow
16 END
```

XEQ " $\Sigma 3 P I$ " => 3. 4459255

Another Ramanujan formula to end this section:
$\underline{\ln \left\{[2 \times 5!+(8-1)!]^{\sqrt{9}}+4!+(3!)!\right\}}$

## $\sqrt{67}$

A undeniably beautiful approximation of pi, easily programmed as follows:

| $\mathbf{0 1}$ LDL "LNPI" | 12 FACT |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{0 2} 7$ | $13+$ |
| 03 FACT | 143 |
| 045 | 15 FACT |
| 05 FACT | 16 FACT |
| 06 ST +X | $17+$ |
| $07+$ | 18 LN |
| 089 | 1967 |
| 09 SORT | 20 SORT |
| 10 Y^X | $21 /$ |
| 114 | 22 END |

## Merry－go－Round：From pi to e and back again．

The pair of functions below make use of the expressions linking e and pi to obtain one when the other is known－albeit in a not－so－trivial way；which BTW would be the Euler＂identity＂（to loosely use the term）relating $\mathrm{pi}, \mathrm{e}$ ，and i in the famous equation＂ $\mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(\mathrm{i} \pi)-1=0$＂
isolating $\pi->\pi=\operatorname{Ln}(-1) / i$ ，and isolating e $->e=(-1)^{\wedge}(1 / i \pi)$ ；
which on the $41 Z$ is a trivial，easy as a pie，two mini－programs（5－and 7－steps respectively）：
\｛ LBL＂ZPIE＂，－1，ZREAL＾，ZLN，Z／I，ZAVIEW，END \}
\｛ LBL＂ZEPI＂，－1，ZREAL＾，PI，ZIMAG＾，ZINV，W＾Z，ZAVIEW，END \}

```
XEQ "ZPIE" => 3, 44 55 2554+|0
```



But we＇re digressing，let＇s bring the conversation back to the PIE＿ROM，shall we？

## From pi to e：

Simply making use of the series definition of the exponential function，calculated for $x=\pi$ ：

；thus：
$\pi=\operatorname{Ln}\left(1+\pi^{\wedge} 2 / 2+\pi^{\wedge} 3 / 6+\pi^{\wedge} 4 / 24+\pi^{\wedge} 5 / 120+\ldots\right)$
Which converges moderately fast，so with about 22 terms we reach the 10－digit accuracy sought for．

Using PI2E does not require any input，and as expected will place the result in X after lifting the stack：

$$
\text { PI2E } \quad=>\quad \text { こ, } 7 \text { 1日2日 1日こ日 }
$$

## Conversely，from e to pi：

Here we＇re using the formula below：

$$
\pi=4\left(\arctan \mathrm{e}-\arctan \frac{\mathrm{e}-1}{\mathrm{e}+1}\right)
$$

Using E2PI does not require any input，and as expected will place the result in $X$ after lifting the stack：

$$
\text { E2PI } \quad=>\quad 3,41592653
$$

A FOCAL program listing equivalent to the MCODE functions included in the module is given next - .

| 1 | LBL "E->PI" |  | 23 | LBL "PI->E" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | LBLA |  | 24 | LBL B |
| 3 | RAD |  | 25 | E |
| 4 | E |  | 26 | ENTER^ |
| 5 | E^X |  | 27 | LBL 00 |
| 6 | ENTER^ |  | 28 | PI |
| 7 | ATAN |  | 29 | RCL Z |
| 8 | X $<>$ Y |  | 30 | $\mathrm{Y}^{\wedge} \mathrm{X}$ |
| 9 | ENTER^ |  | 31 | LASTX |
| 10 | ENTER^ |  | 32 | FACT |
| 11 | E |  | 33 | / |
| 12 | - |  | 34 | RND |
| 13 | $X<Y$ |  | 35 | $\mathrm{X}=0$ ? |
| 14 | E |  | 36 | GTO 02 |
| 15 | + |  | 37 | + |
| 16 | / |  | 38 | ISG Y |
| 17 | ATAN |  | 39 | NOP |
| 18 | - |  | 40 | GTO 00 |
| 19 | 4 |  | 41 | LBL 02 |
| 20 | - |  | 42 | X $<\gg$ |
| 21 | RTN |  | 43 | PI |
| 22 | GTO A |  | 44 | 1/X |
|  |  |  | 45 | $\mathrm{Y}^{\wedge} \mathrm{X}$ |
|  |  |  | 46 | RTN |
|  |  |  | 47 | GTO B |
|  |  |  | 48 | END |

Gerson Barbosa has contributed another way to calculate $\pi$ from e, using his own formula shown below, that has been programmed in the straightforward GBPI routine as follows:

```
01 LBL "GBPI"
02 E
03 E^X
04 -12
0 5 ~ Y \wedge X
06 5.6789
XEQ"GBPI" => 3. 14 4592554
07 +
0 8 1 2 ~ N o t ~ s u r e ~ w h e r e ~ t h i s ~ f o r m u l a ~ c a m e ~ f r o m ~ b u t ~ s u r e ~ e n o u g h ~ i t ~
09 1/X
    does the job with flying colors, thanks Gerson!
10 Y^X
1 1 ~ E
12 E^X
13 *
14 END
```


## Pi in the Sky - The flying squad.

And completing this section we have yet another very recent, Valentín's 2022 Pi Day contribution -https://www.hpmuseum.org/forum/thread-18110.htm/

In it Valentín introduces an original expression also linking the values of pi and e, and furthermore, he provides up to four correction factors to improve on the results from the product formula, stating that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi=e^{3 / 2} \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} e\left(1-\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right)^{n^{2}} \quad \pi \sim \operatorname{PI}(N) /\left(1+1 /(2 * \mathbf{N})-1 /\left(8 * \mathbf{N}^{\wedge} 2\right)\right), \text { and } \\
& \pi \sim \operatorname{PN}(\mathbf{N}) /\left(1+1 /(2 * \boldsymbol{N})-1 /\left(8 * \mathbf{N}_{2}\right)+13 /\left(144 * N_{3}\right)-77 /\left(1152 * N_{4}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The challenge for the implementation here lies in the limited data format used by the HP-41. With just a 10-digit mantissa capability the iterative routines are likely to fail due to cumulative errors, thus we can forget about using FOCAL routines - at least not straightforward ones, anyway.

I decided to give MCODE a chance, to see if three more digits would make a difference - not expecting it to work but lo and behold it actually does a little good - albeit it can't cross the accuracy barrier we're up against, of course.

The function PPIE expects the number of terms to calculate in X, and returns the pi approximation already adjusted with the four corrections mentioned above. With the stated limitations it appears that the sweet spot appears for $n=35$ terms, giving a result with an absolute percent error of exactly zero compared to the native 10 -digit value in the calculator.

The table below shows the logged details of the tests performed. Notice how things go south once the sweet spot is passed - due to the platform limitations. I have also included the execution time (on V41 with default settings, definitely not in TURBO mode)

| n | result | $\mid$ Delta\% $\mid$ | Time H:MMSS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 3.141630979 | $1.2199 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.000174 |
| 10 | 3.141593984 | $4.2335 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.000297 |
| 15 | 3.141592834 | $5.7296 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 0.000438 |
| 20 | 3.141592696 | $1.3369 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 0.000568 |
| 25 | 3.141592666 | $3.8197 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 0.000698 |
| 30 | 3.141592658 | $1.2732 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 0.000829 |
| 35 | 3.141592654 | 0 | 0.00096 |
| 40 | 3.141592652 | $6.3662 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 0.001088 |
| 45 | 3.141592651 | $9.5493 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 0.001219 |
| 50 | 3.14159265 | $1.2732 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 0.001337 |
| 55 | 3.141592648 | $1.9099 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 0.001468 |
| 60 | 3.141592644 | $3.1831 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 0.001606 |

And here's the MCODE listing with all the details of the implementation:

| Header <br> Header <br> Header <br> Header | AD5A <br> AD5B <br> AD5C <br> AD5D | $\begin{aligned} & 085 \\ & 009 \\ & 010 \\ & 010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { "E" } \\ & \text { "I" } \\ & \text { "P" } \\ & \text { "P" } \end{aligned}$ | Ángel Martin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PPIE | AD5E | $\begin{aligned} & 2 A 9 \\ & 13 C \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ?NC XQ } \\ & ->4 F A A \end{aligned}$ | Show "RUNNING" - leaves F8 as-is [RUNMSG] |
|  | AD5F |  |  |  |
|  | AD60 | 2A0 | SETDEC |  |
|  | AD61 | 135 | ?NCXQ |  |
|  | AD62 | 134 | $\rightarrow$ 4D4D | [NATX4] |
|  | AD63 | 04E | $\mathrm{C}=0 \mathrm{ALL}$ |  |
|  | AD64 | 35C | $\mathrm{PT}=12$ | $C=1$ |
|  | AD65 | 222 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}+1$ @ PT |  |
|  | AD66 | 070 | $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}$ ALL | initial $\mathrm{N}=1$ |
|  | AD67 | 1A0 | $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{C}=0$ | zero trinity |
|  | AD68 | 089 | ? NC XQ | current sum |
|  | AD69 | 064 | ->1922 | [STSCR] |
| LOOPN | AD6A | 3CC | ?KEY |  |
|  | AD6B | 360 | ?C RTN |  |
|  | AD6C | OBO | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ ALL | k-1 |
|  | AD6D | 1 12 | ?NC XQ | $\{A, B\}=C+1$ |
|  | AD6E | 100 | ->4078 | [INCC10] |
|  | AD6F | 070 | $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CALL}$ | k |
|  | AD70 | 22 D | ? NC XQ | 1/k |
|  | AD71 | 060 | ->188B | $\left[1 / X \_10\right]$ |
|  | AD72 | 13D | ? ${ }^{\text {PC XQ }}$ | $1 / k^{\wedge} 2$ |
|  | AD73 | 060 | ->184F | [MP1_10] |
|  | AD74 | 2BE | $\mathrm{C}=-\mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{MS}$ | sign change |
|  | AD75 | 11E | $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{CMS}$ | same in 13-digit form |
|  | AD76 | 001 | ? NC XQ | 1-1/k^2 |
|  | AD77 | 060 | ->1800 | [ADDONE] |
|  | AD78 | 3 C 4 | $\mathrm{ST}=0$ |  |
|  | AD79 | 121 | ? NC XQ | $\operatorname{Ln}\left(1-1 / k^{\wedge} 2\right)$ |
|  | AD7A | 06 C | ->1B48 | [LN13] |
|  | AD7B | OBO | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N} \mathrm{ALL}$ | k |
|  | AD7C | 13D | ? NC XQ | k. $\operatorname{Ln}\left(1-1 / k^{\wedge} 2\right)$ |
|  | AD7D | 060 | ->184F | [MP1_10] |
|  | AD7E | OBO | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{NALL}$ | k |
|  | AD7F | 13D | ? NC XQ | $k^{\wedge} 2 . \operatorname{Ln}\left(1-1 / k^{\wedge} 2\right)$ |
|  | AD80 | 060 | ->184F | [MP1_10] |
|  | AD81 | 001 | ? NC XQ | $1+k^{\wedge} 2 . \operatorname{Ln}\left(1-1 . k^{\wedge} 2\right)$ |
|  | AD82 | 060 | $\rightarrow 1800$ | [ADDONE] |
|  | AD83 | OD1 | ? NC XQ | current sum |
|  | AD84 | 064 | ->1934 | [RCSCR] |
|  | AD85 | 031 | ? $N$ C XQ | updated sum |
|  | AD86 | 060 | ->180C | [AD2-13] |
|  | AD87 | 089 | ? NC XQ | current sum |
|  | AD88 | 064 | ->1922 | [STSCR] |
|  | AD89 | OBO | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ ALL | current term |
|  | AD8A | 10E | A=C ALL | put $k$ in A for compares |
|  | AD8B | OF8 | READ 3(X) | number of terms |
|  | AD8C | 36E | ?A\#C ALL | all done? |
|  | AD8D | 2EF | JC -35d | do next |

HP- PIE MODULE QRG

| ADJUST | AD8E AD8F | $\begin{aligned} & 0 A 9 \\ & 064 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & ? N C X Q \\ & ->192 A \end{aligned}$ | final product $[E X S C R]-\{A, B\}<->\{Q,+\}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AD90 | 04E | $\mathrm{C}=0 \mathrm{ALL}$ |  |
|  | AD91 | 35 C | $\mathrm{PT}=12$ | $C=1.5$ |
|  | AD92 | 050 | LD@PT-1 |  |
|  | AD93 | 150 | LD@PT-5 |  |
|  | AD94 | 025 | ? NC XQ |  |
|  | AD95 | 060 | ->1809 | [AD1_10] |
|  | AD96 | OAE | A <>C ALL | save product result: |
| CT4 | AD97 | 070 | $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C} A L L$ | 13-digit sign \& exp |
|  | AD98 | OCE | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{BALL}$ |  |
|  | AD99 | 128 | WRIT 4(L) | 13-digit mantissa |
|  | AD9A | OF8 | READ 3(X) | $n$ |
|  | AD9B | 10 E | $A=C A L L$ |  |
|  | AD9C | 135 | ?NC XQ | $n^{\wedge} 2$ |
|  | AD9D | 060 | $\rightarrow 184 \mathrm{D}$ | [MP2_10] |
|  | AD9E | 13D | ? NC XQ | $n^{\wedge} 4$ |
|  | AD9F | 060 | ->184F | [MP1_10] |
|  | ADAO | 04E | C=0 ALL |  |
|  | ADA1 | 35 C | $\mathrm{PT}=12$ |  |
|  | ADA2 | 050 | LD@PT-1 |  |
|  | ADA3 | 050 | LD@PT-1 | $c=1152$ |
|  | ADA4 | 150 | LD@PT- 5 |  |
|  | ADA5 | 090 | LD@PT- 2 |  |
|  | ADA6 | 130 | LDI S\&X |  |
|  | ADA7 | 003 | CON: |  |
|  | ADA8 | 13D | ? NC XQ | $1152 . n^{\wedge} 4$ |
|  | ADA9 | 060 | ->184F | [MP1_10] |
|  | ADAA | 239 | ? ${ }^{\text {NC XQ }}$ | 1/1152.n^4 |
|  | ADAB | 060 | ->188E | [ON/X13 |
|  | ADAC | 04E | C=0 ALL |  |
|  | ADAD | 2DC | $\mathrm{PT}=13$ |  |
|  | ADAE | 250 | LD@PT-9 |  |
|  | ADAF | 1D0 | LD@PT- 7 | $C=-77$ |
|  | ADBO | 1D0 | LD@PT- 7 |  |
|  | ADB1 | 130 | LDI S\&X |  |
|  | ADB2 | 001 | CON: |  |
|  | ADB3 | 13D | ? $\times$ C XQ | -77/1152.n^4 |
|  | ADB4 | 060 | ->184F | [MP1_10] |
|  | ADB5 | 089 | ? NC XQ | -77/1152.n^4 |
|  | ADB6 | 064 | ->1922 | [STSCR] |
| CT3 | ADB7 | OF8 | READ 3(X) | $n$ |
|  | ADB8 | 10E | $A=C A L L$ |  |
|  | ADB9 | 135 | ? NC XQ | $n^{\wedge} 2$ |
|  | ADBA | 060 | ->184D | [MP2_10] |
|  | ADBB | OF8 | READ 3(X) | $n$ |
|  | ADBC | 13D | ? ${ }^{\text {PC X X }}$ |  |
|  | ADBD | 060 | ->184F | [MP1_10] |
|  | ADBE | 04E | C=0 ALL |  |
|  | ADBF | 130 | LDI S\&X |  |
|  | ADCO | 144 | CON: | $C=144$ |
|  | ADC1 | 07C | RCR 4 |  |
|  | ADC2 | 130 | LDI S\&X |  |
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|  | ADC3 | 002 | CON: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ADC4 | $\begin{aligned} & 13 D \\ & 060 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ?NC XQ } \\ & ->184 F \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 144 . n^{\wedge 3} \\ & {\left[M P 1 \_10\right]} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ADC6 | $\begin{aligned} & 239 \\ & 060 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ?NC XQ } \\ & ->188 E \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1/144. }{ }^{\wedge 3} \\ & \text { [ON/X13 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ADC8 <br> ADC9 <br> ADCA <br> ADCB <br> ADCC <br> ADCD | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 04 \mathrm{E} \\ 35 \mathrm{C} \\ 050 \\ 0 \mathrm{DO} \\ 130 \\ 001 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}=0 \mathrm{ALL} \\ & \mathrm{PT}=12 \end{aligned}$ <br> LD@PT- 1 <br> LD@PT- 3 <br> LDI S\&X <br> CON: | $C=13$ |
|  | ADCE ADCF | $\begin{aligned} & 13 D \\ & 060 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ?NC XQ } \\ & ->184 F \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13/144.n^3 } \\ & \text { [MP1_10] } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADDO } \\ & \text { ADD1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 D 1 \\ & \hline 064 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & ? N C \times Q \\ & \rightarrow 1934 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -77 / 1152 . n^{\wedge 4} \\ & \text { [RCSCR] } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADD2 } \\ & \text { ADD3 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 031 \\ & 060 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PNC XQ } \\ & ->180 C \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 / 144 . n^{\wedge} 3-77 / 1152 . n^{\wedge} 4 \\ & {[A D 2-13]} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADD4 } \\ & \text { ADD5 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 089 \\ & 064 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & ? N C X Q \\ & ->1922 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 / 144 . n^{\wedge 3}-77 / 1152 . n^{\wedge} 4 \\ & \text { [STSCR] } \end{aligned}$ |
| CT2 | ADD6 | 0F8 | READ 3(X) | $\pi \sim P N(N) /\left(1+1 /\left(2^{*} M\right)-1 /\left(8^{*} N^{2}\right)\right)$ |
|  | ADD7 | 10 E | $A=C$ ALL |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADD8 } \\ & \text { ADD9 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 135 \\ & 060 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & ? N C X Q \\ & ->184 D \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n^{\wedge} \\ & {\left[M P 2 \_10\right]} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ADDA <br> ADDB <br> ADDC <br> ADDD | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 04 \mathrm{E} \\ 130 \\ 098 \\ 23 \mathrm{C} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | C=0 ALL <br> LDI S\&X <br> CON: <br> RCR 2 | $c=-8$ |
|  | ADDE ADDF | $\begin{aligned} & 13 D \\ & 060 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ?NC XQ } \\ & \text {->184F } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -8 . n^{\wedge} 2 \\ & {[M P 1-10]} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADEO } \\ & \text { ADE1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 239 \\ & 060 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & ? N C X Q \\ & \rightarrow 188 E \end{aligned}$ | $-1 / 8 \cdot n^{\wedge} 2$ <br> [ON/X13 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADE2 } \\ & \text { ADE3 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 D 1 \\ & 064 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ?NC XQ } \\ & ->1934 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 / 144 . n^{\wedge}-77 / 1152 . n^{\wedge 4} \\ & \text { [RCSCR] } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ADE4 <br> ADE5 | $\begin{aligned} & 031 \\ & 060 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ?NC XQ } \\ & ->180 C \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1 / 8 \cdot n^{\wedge} 2+13 / 144 . n^{\wedge} 3-77 / 1152 \cdot n^{\wedge} 4 \\ & {[A D 2-13]} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADE6 } \\ & \text { ADE7 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 089 \\ & 064 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ?NC XQ } \\ & ->1922 \end{aligned}$ | $-1 / 8 . n^{\wedge} 2+13 / 144 . n^{\wedge} 3-77 / 1152 . n^{\wedge} 4$ <br> [STSCR] |
| CT1 | ADE8 | OF8 | READ 3(X) | $n$ |
|  | ADE9 | 10 E | $A=C A L L$ |  |
|  | ADEA ADEB | $\begin{aligned} & 010 \\ & 060 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & ? N C X Q \\ & \rightarrow 1807 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 n \\ & {\left[A D 2 \_10\right]} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ADEC ADED | $\begin{aligned} & 239 \\ & 060 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & ? N C \times Q \\ & \rightarrow 188 E \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 2 n \\ & \text { [ON/X13 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ADEE ADEF | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 001 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & ? N C \times Q \\ & ->1934 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1 / 8 . n^{\wedge} 2+13 / 144 . n^{\wedge} 3-77 / 1152 . n^{\wedge} 4 \\ & \text { [RCSCR] } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADFO } \\ & \text { ADF1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 031 \\ & 060 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ?NC XQ } \\ & ->180 C \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 2 n-1 / 8 \cdot n^{\wedge} 2+13 / 144 . n^{\wedge} 3- \\ & 77 / 1152 . n^{\wedge} 4 \\ & \text { [AD2-13] } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADF2 } \\ & \text { ADF3 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 001 \\ 060 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { ?NC XQ } \\ ->1800 \\ \hline-1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1+1 / 2 n-1 / 8 \cdot n^{\wedge} 2+13 / 144 . n^{\wedge}- \\ & 77 / 1152 . n^{\wedge} 4 \\ & \text { [ADDONE] } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ADF4 <br> ADF5 | $\begin{aligned} & 121 \\ & 06 C \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & ? N C X Q \\ & \rightarrow 1 B 48 \end{aligned}$ | [LN13] |
|  | ADF6 | 2BE | $\mathrm{C}=-\mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{MS}$ | sign change |

HP- PIE MODULE QRG

| ADF7 | 11E | $A=C M S$ | ditto for 13-digit form |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADF8 | OBO | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ ALL | recover product result: |
| ADF9 | 158 | $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{C}$ ALL | 13-digit sign \& exp |
| ADFA | 138 | READ 4(L) | 13-digit mantissa |
| ADFB | 031 | ? NC XQ | $\operatorname{Ln}(P N(N))$ |
| ADFC | 060 | ->180C | [AD2-13] |
| ADFD | 035 | ? $N C$ XQ | PN(N) |
| ADFE | 068 | $->1 A O D$ | [EXP13] |
| ADFF | 331 | ?NC GO | Overflow, DropST, FillXL \& Exit |
| AE00 | 002 | ->OOCC | [NFRX] |

So here you have it, quite a long code but conceptually not a complicated one - such is the nature of the MCODE game sometimes.

PS.- Jean-François Garnier has provided the following FOCAL routine that cleverly overcomes the 10-digit accuracy issue to effectively reach good results with about 45 terms (that is 10 more than the MCODE version, using the first two correction factors instead of four - not bad at all!)

| 01 | LBL "PN2" |  | 21 | + |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02 | "RUNNING" |  | 22 | DSE 01 |  |
| 03 | AVIEW |  | 23 | GTO 00 | ; sum endloop --^ |
| 04 | STO 00 | ; N | 24 | 1.5 |  |
| 05 | E |  | 25 | + |  |
| 06 | - |  | 26 | RCL 00 |  |
| 07 | STO 01 | ; control loop 1..N-1 | 27 | 2 |  |
| 08 | 0 |  | 28 | * |  |
| 09 | LBL 00 | ; sum loop <--- | 29 | 1/X |  |
| 10 | RCL 01 |  | 30 | RCL 00 |  |
| 11 | E |  | 31 | $\mathrm{X}^{\wedge} 2$ |  |
| 12 | + | ; $n=2 . . N$ | 32 | 8 |  |
| 13 | $\mathrm{X}^{\wedge} 2$ |  | 33 | * |  |
| 14 | ENTER^ |  | 34 | 1/X |  |
| 15 | 1/X |  | 35 | - |  |
| 16 | CHS |  | 36* | LN1+X | ; correction factor |
| 17 | LN1+X |  | 37 | - |  |
| 18 | * |  | 38 | $\mathrm{E}^{\wedge} \mathrm{X}$ |  |
| 19 | E |  | 39 | CLD |  |
| 20 | + |  | 40 | END |  |

## Appendix.- Integral Pie

And what about using an integral form, you may ask? Well, mixed results here to report. The good news is that using a simple simple expression like the one below works like a charm with a quick call to FROOT:

$$
\pi=\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d x}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}
$$

Setting FIX 9:

$$
\text { XEQ "PITG" => 3. } 44592554
$$

References: See https://functions.wolfram.com/Constants/Pi/07/

| 1 | LBL "PITG" |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | "•I" |
| 3 | 0 |
| 4 | ENTER^ $^{\wedge}$ |
| 5 | 1 |
| 6 | FINTG |
| 7 | 4 |
| 8 | $\bullet$ |
| 9 | RTN |
| 10 | LBL "*। |
| 11 | CHS |
| 12 | $E$ |
| 13 | - |
| 14 | SQRT |
| 15 | END |

So far so good, however I've not succeeded with other more complex derivations included in other "Short \& Sweet Challenge" threads, such as those shown below:

$$
\int_{0}^{x}\left(\frac{\sin t}{t} \mathrm{e}^{t / \tan t}\right)^{x} d t-\frac{x^{x}}{\Gamma x}=0
$$

Which doesn't converge no matter how I try it, and:

$$
\pi=\frac{1}{W_{0}(1)} \int_{0}^{\pi} \log \left(1+\frac{\sin t}{t} e^{t \cot t}\right) \mathrm{d} t .
$$

Which includes pi in the definition of pi, if you see my circular point...
See the original thread for more details:
HP Challenge VA515-2021-03-14 - SRC 009 Pi Day 2021 Special.pdf

## Salimin-Brent Algorithm.

In 1976 Eugene Salamin and Richard Brent independently discovered a new algorithm for pi, which is based on the arithmetic-geometric mean and some ideas originally due to Gauss in the 1800s (although for some reason Gauss never saw the connection to computing pi). This algorithm produces approximations that converge to pi much more rapidly than any classical formula. It may be stated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Set } \begin{aligned}
a_{0}=1, b_{0}=1 / \sqrt{2} \text { and } s_{0}=1 / 2 . \text { For } k=1,2,3, \cdots \text { compute } \\
\begin{aligned}
a_{k} & =\frac{a_{k-1}+b_{k-1}}{2} \\
b_{k} & =\sqrt{a_{k-1} b_{k-1}} \\
c_{k} & =a_{k}^{2}-b_{k}^{2} \\
s_{k} & =s_{k-1}-2^{k} c_{k} \\
p_{k} & =\frac{2 a_{k}^{2}}{s_{k}}
\end{aligned} \quad \pi \approx \frac{4 a_{N}^{2}}{1-\sum_{k=1}^{N} 2^{k+1}\left(a_{k}^{2}-g_{k}^{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then pk converges quadratically to pi. This means that each iteration of the algorithm approximately doubles the number of correct digits of pi. To be specific, successive iterations produce $1,4,9,20,42,85,173,347$, and 697 correct digits of pi. However, each of these iterations must be performed using a level of numeric precision that is at least as high as that desired for the final result; and that unfortunately means just three iterations are meaningful for the HP-41's 10-digit precision ceiling.

The FOCAL routine below implements the algorithm for the PIE ROM:

| 1 | LBL "SBPI" |  | 23 | CHS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2 |  | 24 | RCL M | $a(k)$ |
| 3 | 1/X | 1/2 | 25 | X^2 | $a(k)^{\wedge} 2$ |
| 4 | STO 0 |  | 26 | + | $c(k)$ |
| 5 | SQRT | $a(0)$ | 27 | RCL Y | k,003 |
| 6 | STO N |  | 28 | INT | k |
| 7 | E |  | 29 | $2^{\wedge} \times-1$ | $2^{\wedge}(k)-1$ |
| 8 | STO M | $b$ (0) | 30 | E |  |
| 9 | 0,003 |  | 31 | + | $2^{\wedge} k$ |
| 10 | + | 1.003 | 32 | * | $2^{\wedge} k . C(k)$ |
| 11 | LBL 00 |  | 33 | CHS |  |
| 12 | RCL M | $b(k-1)$ | 34 | $\mathrm{ST}+\mathrm{O}$ | $s(k)$ in 0 |
| 13 | RCL N | $a(k-1)$ | 35 | RDN | k,003 |
| 14 | + |  | 36 | ISG X | do next? |
| 15 | 2 |  | 37 | GTO 00 | yes |
| 16 | 1 | $a(k)$ | 38 | RCL M | $a(k)$ |
| 17 | $\mathrm{X}<>\mathrm{M}$ | $b(k-1)$ | 39 | X^2 | $a(k)^{\wedge} 2$ |
| 18 | RCL N | $a(k-1)$ | 40 | ST+ X | $2\left(a(k){ }^{\wedge} 2\right.$ |
| 19 | - |  | 41 | RCL O | $s(k))$ |
| 20 | SQRT | $b(k)$ | 42 | / | $p(k)$ |
| 21 | STO N |  | 43 | END |  |
| 22 | X^2 | $b(k)^{\wedge} 2$ |  |  |  |

## Heretical Pi (an early April's $1^{\text {st }}$ joke :-)

Inspired by the clever elegance in the Salamin-Brent method I wondered whether a non-iterative form could be extrapolated from the same approach, using the same starting "anchor" points \{1, $1 / \operatorname{sqr}(2)\}$ and based on the AGM and GHM means; plus using a "magic" fudge factor " $k$ " to make it all somehow work out. A totally absurd anathema but just for fun, consider the following expression:

$$
p i=\frac{2 . a g m^{2}}{\frac{1}{2}-\left(a g m^{2}-g h m^{2}\right) \cdot 2^{k}}
$$

One could even attempt to legitimize this derangement by stating that the fudge factor " k " is based on the Erdós-Borwein constant, $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{EB}}$ as follows: (oh this is getting too weird, or is it?)

$$
\frac{5\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{EB}}+2\right)}{\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{EB}}+16} \approx 1.0242396773481
$$

And this (see left) is the tonge-in-cheek, no-nonsensical (uh?) FOCAL routine used that consolidates the heresy and materializes this wondrous, innovative bluff.

Trying it out for size:

$$
\text { XEQ "MYPI" }=>\quad 3.44592554
$$

If you thought this made no sense (say what?) then wait to read my dissertation on the search - and finding - of a new transcendent number $\tau$ (a.k.a $\pi$ 's cousin) through which the length of the ellipse circumference can be expressed in a closed form by:
$\mathrm{L}=2 . \tau . \operatorname{sqr}\left(\mathrm{a}^{\wedge} 2+\mathrm{b}^{\wedge} 2\right)$
Where $a, b$ are, of course, the semi-axis of said ellipse.

Not convinced yet? Well, perhaps you may want to check my string-theory-based quick proof of the Riemann hypothesis in the next section of the manual...

Note: see here for another rant on the subject, it's worth reading - but keep your mind open!

| 1 | LBL "MYPI" |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2 |  |
| 3 | SQRT |  |
| 4 | 1/X |  |
| 5 | E |  |
| 6 | AGM | $\operatorname{agm}(1,1 / s q r(2))$ |
| 7 | $\mathrm{X}^{\wedge} 2$ | agm ${ }^{\wedge} 2$ |
| 8 | STO 00 |  |
| 9 | 2 |  |
| 10 | SQRT |  |
| 11 | 1/X |  |
| 12 | E |  |
| 13 | GHM | $\operatorname{ghm}(1,1 / s q r(2))$ |
| 14 | $\mathrm{X}^{\wedge} 2$ | $\mathrm{ghm}{ }^{\wedge} 2$ |
| 15 | - | $\mathrm{agm}^{\wedge} 2-\mathrm{ghm}{ }^{\wedge} 2$ |
| 16 | 2 |  |
| 17 | ENTER |  |
| 18 | 1.024239678 | $k$ |
| 19 | $\mathrm{Y}^{\wedge} \mathrm{X}$ | $2^{\wedge} k$ |
| 20 | CHS | $-2^{\wedge} k$ |
| 21 | * | $-\left(a g m^{\wedge} 2-g h m^{\wedge} 2\right) /$ |
| 22 | 0.5 |  |
| 23 | + | 1/2-(agm ${ }^{\wedge} 2-\mathrm{ghm}^{\wedge} 2$ |
| 24 | 1/X |  |
| 25 | RCL 00 | agm ${ }^{\wedge} 2$ |
| 26 | $\mathrm{ST}+\mathrm{X}$ | 2.agm^2 |
| 27 | * | final result |
| 28 | END | done |

Extra bonus: speaking of Erdós-Borwein, here's a nice MCODE Utility and corresponding FOCAL routine side by side to calculate this constant - using the definition series:
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Erd\�\�s\�\�\�Borwein_constant

$$
E=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}-1} \approx 1.606695152415291763 \ldots
$$

| 01 | LBL "EBC" |
| :--- | :--- |
| 02 | 0 |
| 03 | $E$ |
| 04 | LBL 00 |
| 05 | $2^{\wedge}$ X-1 |
| 06 | LASTX |
| 07 | X<>Y |
| 08 | $1 / \mathrm{X}$ |
| 09 | ST+ Z |
| 10 | FS? 10 |
| 11 | VIEW Z |
| 12 | X=0? |
| 13 | GTO 02 |
| 14 | RDN |
| 15 | ISG X |
| 16 | NOP |
| 17 | GTO 00 |
| 18 | LBL 02 |
| 19 | X<> Z |
| 20 | CLD |
| 21 | END |



## Wallis-based Approximations

Also included in the module are a handful of routines based on the infamous Wallis product expression for the approximation It's well known that said expression requires a very large number of terms to get a decent accuracy in the result, hence its usage is limited from a practical point of view. However, there are ways to go around that deficiency using "correction" factors or other modifications on top of the basic one.

| Function | Description | Input | Author |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| WALLIS | Wallis formula (n in X) | n in X | Ángel Martin |
| "WP42 | Wallis product Formula | n in X | Gerson W. Barbosa |
| "WPI | Wallis product Formula | n in X | Jean-Marc Baillard |
| "WPIH | Wallis Formula w/ Hyperbolics | n in X | Werner |
| "CFWP | Conti. Fractions correction | n in X | Martin-Barbosa |
| "WWPI | Wallis-Wasicki Formula | n in X | Gerson W. Barbosa | number of terms input in X and returns the estimation of pi to the stack X register.

$$
\pi \approx 2\left(\frac{4}{3} \times \frac{16}{15} \times \frac{36}{35} \times \frac{64}{63} \times \cdots \times \frac{4 n^{2}}{4 n^{2}-1}\right)
$$

The table below shows (left column) the results for different number of terms; note how the values get closer to the actual pi value when the Wallis formula is combined with a correction factor (right column), as we'll see next:

| \# of terms | Wallis Result | Wallis-Wasicki Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 |  | 3. 142523109 |
| 100 | 3,133787496 |  |
| 1,000 |  | 3.441592798 |
| 10,000 | 3, 14.514548 | 3.44593184 |
| 100,000 | 3.44155.518 | n/a |

example:
10,000 , XEQ "WALLIS" $=>\quad 3,141514548$
Not much to write home about, to say the least, so let's see other more efficient approaches (read: fewer number of terms) while still based on the basic Wallis formula.

The two programs below are different versions contributed by forum members to compute the Wallis product (without correction factors). On the left using data registers and the RCL math (taken from an HP-42 solution); on the righ two more concise routines using only the stack.

| 01. | LBL "W42" | 42 | $\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{Y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02 | STO 01 | 43. | LBL 00 |
| 03 | NOT | 44 | STO + ST T |
| 04 | 2 | 45 | X<>Y |
| 05 | MOD | 46 | R $\uparrow$ |
| 06 | ENTER | 47 | RCL× ST T |
| 07 | STO + STX | 48 | RCL 03 |
| 08 | E | 49 | X<>Y |
| 09 | - | 50 | SIGN |
| 10 | 4 | 51 | +/- |
| 11 | RCL× 01 | 52 | STO× ST Z |
| 12 | E | 53 | X < ST L |
| 13 | RCL- ST T | 54 | $\div$ |
| 14 | $\times$ | 55 | X < ST Z |
| 15 | R $\uparrow$ | 56 | RCL 01 |
| 16 | STO + ST X | 57 | STO ${ }_{\text {ST }}$ X |
| 17 | + | 58 | $\mathrm{X} \uparrow 2$ |
| 18 | 3 | 59 | STO 02 |
| 19 | RCL× ST T | 60 | DSE ST X |
| 20 | -2 | 61 | STO $\div 02$ |
| 21 | STO 02 | 62 | R $\downarrow$ |
| 22 | RCL+ 01 | 63 | RCL ST Y |
| 23 | $\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{Y}$ | 64 | SIGN |
| 24 | + | 65 | $\mathrm{X}<\mathrm{ST}$ Z |
| 25 | STO 03 | 66 | ABS |
| 26 | RCL 02 | 67 | $\mathrm{X} \times 04$ |
| 27 | $\mathrm{X}<>\mathrm{ST}$ L | 68 | +/- |
| 28 | STO + STX | 69 | STO +03 |
| 29 | RCL+ ST L | 70 | NOT |
| 30 | STO 04 | 71 | +/- |
| 31 | - | 72 | NOT |
| 32 | RCL- 02 | 73 | +/- |
| 33 | RCL× ST Z | 74 | $\mathrm{X} \times 04$ |
| 34 | +/- | 75 | DSE 01 |
| 35 | 4 | 76 | GTO 00 |
| 36 | RCLx 01 | 77 | SIGN |
| 37 | RCL- ST Z | 78 | RCL+ ST T |
| 38 | RCL- 02 | 79 | RCL× 02 |
| 39 | STO $\div$ ST Y | 80 | ABS |
| 40 | X< $\times 1$ | 81 | END |
| 41 | R $\downarrow$ |  |  |


| 1 | LBL "WPI" | JM Baillard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2 |  |
| 3 | LBL 01 |  |
| 4 | RCL Y |  |
| 5 | ST+ X |  |
| 6 | $\mathrm{X}^{\wedge} 2$ |  |
| 7 | ST* Y |  |
| 8 | DSEX |  |
| 9 | / |  |
| 10 | DSE Y |  |
| 11 | GTO 01 |  |
| 12 | RTN |  |
| 13 | LBL "WPIH" | Werner |
| 14 | 2 |  |
| 15 | LBL 02 |  |
| 16 | RCL Y |  |
| 17 | ST+ X |  |
| 18 | HACOS |  |
| 19 | HTAN |  |
| 20 | $\mathrm{X}^{\wedge} 2$ |  |
| 21 | / |  |
| 22 | DSE Y |  |
| 23 | GTO 02 |  |
| 24 | END |  |

Wallis-Wasicki formula.
See: https://www.hpmuseum.org/forum/post-139434.html\#pid139434
See also: https://www.hpmuseum.org/forum/post-9194.html\#pid9194
Gerson W. Barbosa has proposed a correction factor on top of the Wallis product for slightly more accurate results and definitely better efficiency. The correction factor is the finite continued fraction shown below, with a constant $B(n)$ term pattern reflecting the number of terms used in the Wallis part of the combined formula.

$$
2+\frac{4}{8 n+3+\frac{3}{8 n+4+\frac{15}{8 n+4+\frac{35}{8 n+4+\frac{63}{(2)}}}}}
$$

So right off the shoe we could use the Continued Fractions engine to calculate the correction factor, which should definitely converge relatively quick given the large values for both $A(n)$ and $B(n)$. This is what the routine CWPI does, listed below:

| 1 | LBL "CWPI" |  | 23 | RTN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | "*WP" |  | 24 | LBL 01 |  |
| 3 | 2 |  | 25 | ENTER ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4 | ENTER ${ }^{\wedge}$ |  | 26 | $\mathrm{X}^{\wedge} 2$ | $(n-1)^{\wedge} 2$ |
| 5 | CF2V |  | 27 | 4 |  |
| 6 | RCL 10 |  | 28 | * | $4(n-1)$ |
| 7 | WALLIS |  | 29 | ENTER ${ }^{\wedge}$ |  |
| 8 | 2 |  | 30 | - | $4(n-1)^{\wedge} 2-1$ |
| 9 | / |  | 31 | $X<>Y$ | $n-1$ |
| 10 | * |  | 32 | 8 |  |
| 11 | RTN |  | 33 | * | $8(n-1)$ |
| 12 | LBL "*WP |  | 34 | 4 |  |
| 13 | RCL 02 |  | 35 | + | $8(n-1)+4$ |
| 14 | ENTER ${ }^{\wedge}$ |  | 36 | / | $A(n)=(4) n-1)^{\wedge} 2$ |
| 15 | - | $(n-1)$ | 37 | LBL 02 | -1)/[8(n-1)+4] |
| 16 | X\#0? |  | 38 | RCL 10 | $N$ |
| 17 | GTO 01 |  | 39 | 8 |  |
| 18 | XEQ 02 |  | 40 | * | $8 N$ |
| 19 | $X<>Y$ |  | 41 | 4 |  |
| 20 | ENTER ${ }^{\wedge}$ |  | 42 | $+$ | $B(n)=8 N+4$ |
| 21 | - | $B(1)=8 N+3$ | 43 | X $<\gg$ |  |
| 22 | 4 | $A(1)=4$ | 44 | END |  |

The other approach is obviously to combine both the Wallis product and the correction factor at the same time, during the execution of the main body code segment. This is done in routine WWPI listed below:

| 01 | LBL "WWPI" | F 16 | $x<2$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02 | 4 | - 17 | ST/ Y |  |
| - 03 | 0 | - 18 | $X \ll L$ |  |
| $\bigcirc 04$ | 8 | - 19 | R $\downarrow$ |  |
| $\bigcirc 05$ | RC* ${ }^{\text {T }}$ | - 20 | X $\triangle$ Y |  |
| $\bigcirc 06$ | RC+ Z | - 21 | DSE T |  |
| 07 | LBL 00 | - 22 | GTO 00 |  |
| 08 | R $\uparrow$ | - 23 | DSE X |  |
| 09 | RC+ X | $\bigcirc 24$ | + |  |
| 10 | ST* X | - 25 | 1/X |  |
| - 11 | ST* T | - 26 | 0.5 |  |
| - 12 | DSE X | - 27 | + |  |
| - 13 | ST $-T$ | - 28 | $\times$ |  |
| - 14 | X $<$ Y | - 29 | END |  |
| $\bigcirc 15$ | $\mathrm{ST}+\mathrm{Z}$ |  |  |  |

Table of results/-
Uncorrected Wallis:

| N | WP42 | WPI | WPIH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 3.06770807 |  |  |
| 100 | 3. 139787499 | 3. 33787499 | 3. 33787499 |
| 1,000 | 3. 140807756 |  | 3. 140807756 |
| 10,000 | 3. 141514015 | 3. 141514015 | 3.141514015 |
| 100,000 | 3. 141571397 | 3.41571397 | 3.4 57, 987 |

The three versions are totally identical for any number of iterations.

## Corrected Wallis:

| n | WWPI | CWPI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 3. 141592554 | 3.142523109 |
| 100 | 3. 44595565 | 3.44150424 |
| 1,000 | 3.44592502 | 3.44159798 |
| 10,000 | 3.44593758 | 3.44159184 |

The sweet spot appears to be $n=1,000$ for both, no doubt the workings of the finite continued functions term.

## Pi/e using Continued Fractions

There are many different expressions related to pi and e using continued fractions, both with and without a clear pattern to the coefficients. As usual, some of them converge very slowly and aren't practical for the calculations - thus only have an academic value.

Amongst those useful for our purposes, we find these two for pi:

Routine name: CFPI

$$
\pi=\frac{4}{1+\frac{1^{2}}{3+\frac{2^{2}}{5+\frac{3^{2}}{7+\ddots}}}}
$$

Routine name: CFP1

$$
\pi=3+\frac{1^{2}}{6+\frac{3^{2}}{6+\frac{5^{2}}{6+\ddots}}}
$$

With the following recurrent pattern parameters on each case being:
$B(0)=0$
$B(0)=3$
$A(1)=4 \quad ; B(1)=1$
$A(n)=(2 n-1)^{\wedge} 2 ; \quad B(n)=6$
$A(n)=(n-1)^{\wedge} 2 ; B(n)=2 n-1$

And this one for e, beautifully simple and even more efficient for the calculation:

$$
e=2+\frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{2+\frac{2}{3+\frac{3}{\ddots}}}} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { with the following recu } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
B(0)=2 ; 1 ; B(1)=1 \\
A(n)=1 ;(n-1) ; B(n)=n \\
A(n)=n
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

| XEQ "CFE" |  | ; with just 5 terms needed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| XEQ "CFPI" => | 3. 44592540 | ; with 420 terms needed. |
| XEQ "CFP1" => | 3.4 59255e | ; with 14 terms needed |

As always, you can set flag 10 to see the progress of the convergence in the display.

References: https://mathworld.wolfram.com/eContinuedFraction.htm/ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Continued_fraction

## The Path not taken:-

Two of the non-practical continued fractions are shown below, for the $\pi / 2$ and $4 / \pi$ cases- both requiring many thousands of iterations to achieve decent accuracy (say 5 decimal digits or better), and thus taking an awfully long execution time even on V41 in turbo mode.

$$
\frac{\pi}{2}=1-\frac{1}{3-\frac{2 \cdot 3}{1-\frac{1 \cdot 2}{3-\frac{4 \cdot 5}{1-\frac{3 \cdot 4}{3-\frac{6 \cdot 7}{1-\frac{5 \cdot 6}{3-\ldots}}}}}} \quad \frac{4}{\pi}=1+\frac{1^{2}}{2+\frac{3^{2}}{2+\frac{5^{2}}{2+\frac{7^{2}}{2+\ldots}}}} . \quad \frac{4}{}} \quad \frac{1}{}
$$

Brouncker's formula:
Programmed as follows:

| 1 | LBL "CFPI2" |  | 32 | RCL X |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | LBLA |  | 33 | E |  |
| 3 | "SP" |  | 34 | - | (n-2) |
| 4 | E | $B(0)=1$ | 35 | * | (n-1).(n-2) |
| 5 | ENTER^ |  | 36 | CHS | $A(2 n+1)=-(n-1) .(n-2)$ |
| 6 | CF2V | $\pi / 2$ | 37 | 3 | $B(2 n+1)=3$ |
| 7 | ST+ X | $\pi$ | 38 | $X<>$ |  |
| 8 | RTN |  | 39 | RTN |  |
| 9 | GTO A |  | 40 | LBL "CFP14" |  |
| 10 | LBL "\$P" |  | 41 | LBL B |  |
| 11 | FS? 10 |  | 42 | " $\bullet$ P" |  |
| 12 | VIEW 00 |  | 43 | E | $B(0)=1$ |
| 13 | 3 | $B(1)=3$ | 44 | ENTER^ |  |
| 14 | RCL 02 | $n$ | 45 | CF2V | 4/r |
| 15 | $\mathrm{X}=1$ ? |  | 46 | 1/X | $\pi / 4$ |
| 16 | CHS | $A(1)=-1$ | 47 | 4 |  |
| 17 | $\mathrm{X}<0$ ? |  | 48 | - | $\pi$ |
| 18 | RTN |  | 49 | RTN |  |
| 19 | ODD? | odd? | 50 | GTO B |  |
| 20 | GTO 01 | yes, divert | 51 | LBL "*P" |  |
| 21 | RCL 02 | $n$ | 52 | FS? 10 |  |
| 22 | E |  | 53 | VIEW 00 |  |
| 23 | + | $(\mathrm{n}+1)$ | 54 | RCL 02 | $n$ |
| 24 | * | $n .(n+1)$ | 55 | $\mathrm{ST}+\mathrm{X}$ | $2 n$ |
| 25 | CHS | $A(2 n)=-n .(n+1)$ | 56 | E |  |
| 26 | E | $B(2 n)=1$ | 57 | - | $2 \mathrm{n}-1$ |
| 27 | X $<\gg$ |  | 58 | $\mathrm{X}^{\wedge} 2$ | $A(n)=(2 n-1)^{\wedge} 2$ |
| 28 | RTN |  | 59 | 2 | $B(n)=2$ |
| 29 | LBL 01 | odd term,n\#1 | 60 | $\mathrm{X} \gg \mathrm{Y}$ |  |
| 30 | E |  | 61 | END |  |
| 31 | - | (n-1) |  |  |  |

## Random Pie - Monte Carlo method

This section uses a variation of the Monte Carlo strategy to evaluate both pi and e. It's not, however, based in circle relationships derived from randomly throwing needles or shooting at targets, but on probability theory instead. It was explained by Valentín himself in his HP Challenge VA511-2020-03-14 - SRC 006 Pi Day 2020 Special.pdf

Quoting directly from that article:
"It's quite simple, actually. My recent program is this:
1 DESTROY ALL @ RANDOMIZE 1 @ FOR K=1 TO 5 @ N=10^K @ S=0
2 FOR I=1 TO N @ IF NOT MOD(IROUND(RND/RND),2) THEN S=S+1
3 NEXT I @ P=S/N @ STD @ DISP N, @ FIX 3 @ DISP 5-P*4 @ NEXT K
which is computing the probability that the closest integer to $A / B$ is even, where $A$ and $B$ are uniformly distributed random numbers in [0,1), as produced by the RND keyword. Each time the rounded value is even (i.e., it's 0 modulo 2) the number of favorable outcomes $(S)$ is incremented by one (see line 2 ). After $N$ tries have been sampled, the probability $P$ for the even case will be the number of favorables outcomes $(S)$ divided by the number of tries $(N)$, thus we have the estimated probability $P=S / N$.
But I know from theory that in the limit, for $N->$ Infinity, the exact probability $P=(5-$ Pi)/4, so isolating Pi we have Pi=5-P*4, which is displayed by the program in line 3 above."

Note that he goes on to include yet another possible approach, which results in an even shorter BASIC program. Here's the explanation:
"Now, my earlier program, the one-liner, namely:
10 INPUT K @ N=0 @ FOR I=1 TO K @ N=N-MOD(IROUND(RND/RND),2) @ NEXT I @ DISP 14*N/K
is computing the probability that the closest integer to $A / B$ is odd, where $A$ and $B$ are uniformly distributed random numbers in [0,1), as produced by the RND keyword. Each time the rounded value is odd (i.e., isn't 0 modulo 2) the number of favorable outcomes ( $N$ ) is decremented by one, and after $K$ tries have been sampled, the probability for the odd case will be the number of favorable outcomes $(-N)$ divided by the number of tries $(K)$, thus we have the estimated probability $P=-N / K$.

As the probability of the rounded division being either even or odd is 1 (certainty), the probability for the odd case is 1 minus the probability for the even case, thus it's $P=1-(5-P i) / 4=(P i-1) / 4$, so isolating Pi we have $\mathrm{Pi}=1+4 * P=1+4 *(-N / K)=1-4 * N / K$, which is then displayed by the one-line program."

I chose to use the first approach in this module, partially because it also requires the IROUND function, and I was intrigued by it. I ended up writing a short MCODE utility for that purpose, which facilitates the porting of the BASIC code to HP-41 FOCAL, shown in next page.

With regard to the e calculation, the source has also been Valentín's HP Challenge VA030-Short Sweet Math Challenge 25 San Valentin Special - Weird Math.pdf. In that thread there's one section (the first "concoction") about calculating a "weird limit" that can be used for the calculation of e (making the sum--to-exceed $\mathrm{s}=1$ ).
"The limit average count for the sum of a series of [0,1) uniformly distributed random numbers to exceed 1 is exactly $e=2.71828182845904523536+$, the base of the natural logarithms, which is pretty "weird" and can be considered an analog of Buffon's Needle experiment to estimate the value of Pi. Here we don't throw needles on a grid but merrily add up random numbers keeping count and we get e instead."
"This is the general formula to numerically compute the theoretically exact value and my simple 1 line, 53-byte HP-71B program to instantly compute them given the sum to exceed:"

$$
f(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{[x]}(-1)^{k} \frac{(x-k)^{k}}{k!} e^{x-k}
$$

1 DESTROY ALL @ INPUT X @ S=0 @ FOR K=0 TO IP(X) @ S=S+(K-X)^K/FACT(K)*EXP(X-K) @ NEXT K @ DISP S

For the porting we'll certainly need the new IROUND utility and obviously capable random number capabilities, which shouldn't be much of a problem using the SandMath's functions SEEDT and RNDM. E'll use a time-generated initial seed (input zero for SEEDT), and RNDM will do the work using the well-known RNG recurrence:

$$
\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{k}+1)=\mathrm{FRC}[\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{k}) * 9,821+0.211327]
$$

A few results are given in the table below:

| Iterations | MCE | MCPI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 |  |  |
| 100 |  |  |
| 1,000 |  |  |
| 10,000 |  |  |
| 100,000 |  |  |
| 1,000,000 |  |  |

As you can see from the table results both routines require a very large number of iterations to get to a reasonably accurate result, which of course was expected as "it 'comes with the territory" when resorting to this type of approaches. See below for the actual program code.

| 1 | LBL "MCE" |  | 10 | LBL "MCPI" |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | LBLA |  | 11 | LBL B |  |
| 3 | STO 01 | number of iterations | 12 | STO 00 | number of iterations |
| - 2 | E | sum limit | - 11 | 0 | initial value |
| 3 | 0 |  | 12 | SEEDT | Time-based Seed |
| 4 | STO 00 | initial count | 13 | LBL 11 |  |
| 3 | $\mathrm{E}^{\wedge} \mathrm{X}$ |  | 12 | RNDM | PPC Method + |
| 4 | SEEDT | initial seed | 13 | RNDM | PPC Method + |
| 5 | LBL 01 |  | - 14 | / |  |
| 4 | CLX | reset sum | - 13 | IROUND |  |
| 5 | LBL 00 |  | 14 | 2 |  |
| 6 | ISG 00 | increase count | - 15 | MOD |  |
| 5 | NOP |  | - 14 | - |  |
| 6 | RNDM | PPC Method + | 15 | FS? 10 |  |
| 7 | + | update sum | 16 | VIEW Y |  |
| 6 | FS? 10 | need to show? | 16 | DSE Y |  |
| 7 | VIEW Z | yes, oblige | - 17 | GTO 11 |  |
| - 8 | $\mathrm{X}<\mathrm{Y}$ ? | sum less than limit? | 17 | RCL 00 | number of iterations |
| 7 | GTO 00 | yes, get next RAN | $\bigcirc 18$ | / |  |
| 8 | DSE Z | decrease counter | 18 | -4 |  |
| 9 | GTO 01 | do next if not finished | V 19 | - |  |
| - 8 | RCL 00 | final count | 19 | E |  |
| 9 | RCL 01 | number of iterations | - 20 | + |  |
| - 10 | / |  | 20 | CLD |  |
| 9 | CLD |  | 21 | RTN |  |
| 10 | RTN |  | 21 | GTO B |  |
| - 11 | GTO A |  | - 22 | END |  |

Note:- The poor-man version of IROUND would consist of setting FIX 0 before the LBL 11 loop, and adding an INT instruction after the division of both random numbers (i.e. replacing IROUND with INT). That's almost equivalent but doesn't handle the EVEN condition for the result, i.e. $\operatorname{IROUND}(5.5)=5$ whereas $\operatorname{INT}(4.5)$ in FIX 0 is equal to 4 instead. Not a show-stopper though, considering how unlikely it is to find such an occurrence amongst the hundreds of random points used by the routine.


## Humble Pie - Series Correction, "Speed it up!"

Yet another wonderful contribution by Mr. Albillo's at the top of his game - taken from the challenge thread HP Challenge VA125-2006-07-12-HP-15C Mini-challenge Speeding it up.pdf

Here's the direct description from that thread, read on and enjoy !
"As stated in the challenge's description, the task is to find a way to use the well-known Gregory-Leibnitz series to compute Pi to 10 correct places while keeping program size and running time small.

$$
\pi=4 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{2 n+1}=4\left(\frac{1}{1}-\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{5}-\frac{1}{7}+-\cdots\right)
$$

A direct approach seems doomed to failure as this series converges so incredibly slowly that millions of terms must be added up to get no more than 6 or 7 correct digits, let alone 10. To clearly demonstrate it, this simple 15- step HP-15C program, which will serve as the basis for my solutions, will add up any specified even number of terms from the series:

| 01*LBL A | 060 | 11 STO 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02 STO I | 07*LBL 0 | $12 \mathrm{RCL} / \mathrm{I}$ |
| 03 STO+I | 08 DSE I | 13 + |
| 044 | 09 RCL 0 | 14 DSE I |
| 05 STO 0 | 10 CHS | 15 GTO |

To improve accuracy, the program begins adding up the smallest terms and goes backwards until it reaches the largest term, 1. Upon running it, you'll see that, as expected, the convergence is awfully slow. Let's try to add 4 terms, then 44, then 444:

```
4 , GSB A -> 2.895238096 (barely one correct digit)
44 , GSB A -> 3.118868314 (barely three correct digits)
444, GSB A -> 3.139340404 (barely four correct digits)
```

This last result took almost 7 minutes, yet we've got no more than four not-so-correct digits, so the situation seems hopeless. At this point, it seems we can do no better than try some relatively complicated techniques, such as the Euler-McLaurin formula or extrapolation mechanisms for summation of infinite, alternating series such as this one. This would incur in a serious penalty in vastly increased complexity and program size, as seen in several working
programs posted by contributors.

## A bit of sleuthing:

However, math is full of surprises and serendipitous findings are bound to happen when and where you least expect them, as we'll immediately see.

Let's use our basic program to add up exactly 50 terms:

```
50, GSB A -> 3.121594653
```

Now, this has a fairly large error, as we're getting 3.12+ instead of 3.14+, so that the $3 r d$ digit is already 2 units off. But, don't you notice something truly eerie? Yes, we get a " 2 " where a " 4 " should be. But the following three digits (159) are correct! Then we get another wrong digit, a " 4 " which should be a " 2 ", but then the next three digits (653) are once again correct!!

Let's align our value and the correct Pi value and carefully examine the differences:

```
Sum -> 3.121594653
PI -> 3.141592653 (58979...)
    -----------------------
```

which, in absolute values means:

```
+0.02 -0.000002
```

Let's see if this is just a weird coincidence, or else it also happens for other numbers of terms being added up. Let's try 100 terms, for instance:

```
100, GSB A -> 3.131592904
    3.141592654
    -----------------
        +1 -25
    +0.01 -0.00000025
```

and we see that our initial impression does hold, because after one wrong digit, the subsequent four digits (1592) are indeed correct, then another a couple of wrong digits, and once again another correct digit follows.

Let's call these two corrections' C1 and C2 (i.e: +0.02 and -0.000002 for 50 terms, +0.01 and -0.00000025 for 100 terms, respectively) and see how they relate to the number of terms being used. A little insight or a little data-fitting will allow us to issue the following plausible, tentative hypothesis, where $N$ is the number of terms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C 1=1 / \mathrm{N} \\
& \mathrm{C} 2=-0.25 / \mathrm{N} 3=-1 / 4 \mathrm{~N} 3
\end{aligned}
$$

which do indeed work for $N=50$ and $N=100$ terms. Now we'll put our hypothesis to the test, by using it to predict the values of $C 1$ and $C 2$ for $N=200$ terms:

```
Prediction for N = 200 -> C1 = 1/200 = 0.005
C2 = -1/(4*2003) = -0.000000031
```

and we'll now check if they agree with actual results, by running our basic program with 200 as the input value:

```
200, GSB A -> 3.136592685
    3.141592654
    ----------------
        +5 -31
```

which indeed do exactly agree with our predicted corrections, +0.005 and -0.000000031 . At this point, we can be fairly sure that our empirical finding holds, and can then proceed to make use of it by simply computing one or both correction terms, C1 and C2, and using them to refine the sum provided by our basic program, as follows:

## First version, using just one correction term, C1 = 1/N:

Just two little changes to our basic program will compute and add the correction term C1, resulting in a program just a single step longer, at 16 steps, yet much faster and accurate:

```
01*LBL A
02 STO I
03 STO+I 50, GSB A -> 3.141594653 in 55"
04 1/X
054
06 STO O
07 X<>Y 100, GSB A -> 3.141592904 in 1'50"
08*LBL 0
09 DSE I error = 2.5E-7
1 0 \text { RCL 0}
11 CHS 400, GSB A -> 3.141592658 in 7'45"
12 STO 0
13 RCL/I error = 4E-9
14 +
15 DSE I
16 GTO 0
```

so this simple version, with just the one correction term C1 does achieve a 10-digit correct value (within 4 ulps) while using just 400 terms, in less than 8 minutes. That's many orders of magnitude better than the basic program could achieve, but we can do still much better:

## Second version, using two correction terms, $C 1=1 / \mathrm{N}$ and $C 2=-1 / 4 \mathrm{~N} 3$ :

A few stack manipulations will allow us to compute and use both correction terms, C1 and C2 while using just 5 additional steps, for a very small total of just 21 steps:

```
01*LBL A
02 STO I
03 STO+I 40, GSB A -> 3.141592651 in 40" (error = 3E-9)
04 1/X
0 5 ~ E N T E R
```

```
06 ENTER 50, GSB A -> 3.141592653 in 50" (error = 1E-9)
07 3
08 Y^X
094 62, GSB A -> 3.141592654 in 60" (error = 0)
10 STO O
11 /
12 -
13*LBL 0
14 DSE I
1 5 \text { RCL 0}
1 6 \text { CHS}
17 STO 0
18 RCL/I
19 +
20 DSE I
21 GTO 0
```

so this improved version needs to add up just 62 terms to return a full 10 correct-digit value within 60 seconds. Here's a table summarizing the different degrees of approximation using 0,1 , and 2 correction terms, for up to 60 terms added up:

| N | bare series | +C1 | +C1+C2 | t |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 3.041839619 | 3.141839619 | 3.141589619 | 10" |
| 20 | 3.091623807 | 3.141623807 | 3.141592557 | 20" |
| 30 | 3.108268567 | 3.141601900 | 3.141592641 | 30" |
| 40 | 3.116596557 | 3.141596557 | 3.141592651 | 40" |
| 50 | 3.121594653 | 3.141594653 | 3.141592653 | 50" |
| 60 | 3.124927144 | 3.141593811 | 3.141592653 | 60" |

Further empirical confirmation:
As we've been able to indeed get 10 correct digits by using our empirically discovered corrections, we can be fairly confident that they are no mere coincidences but hold for greater number of terms added up and thus greater precision. To test this, just out of curiosity, these are the results for $N=500,5000,50000,500000$, and 5 million terms added up:

```
N = 500 terms added up
3.13959265558978323858464...
3.14159265358979323846264...
    +2 -2 +10 -122
N = 5,000 terms added up
3.14139265359179323836264339547950...
3.14159265358979323846264338327950...
    +2 -2 +10 -122
N = 50,000 terms added up
3.14157265358979523846264238327950410419716...
3.14159265358979323846264338327950288419716...
    +2 -2 +10 -122
```

```
N = 500,000 terms added up
3.14159065358979324046264338326950288419729139937510...
3.14159265358979323846264338327950288419716939937510...
    +2 -2 +10 -122
N = 5,000,000 terms added up
3.14159245358979323846464338327950278419716939938730582097494...
3.14159265358979323846264338327950288419716939937510582097494...
    +2 -2 +10 -122
```

Notice in particular the values for $N=5,000,000$ terms: the 7 th decimal is already in error by 2 units. But the next 13 digits are all correct! Then, the following digit is also 2 units wrong. But the next 12 digits are again correct !! All in all, among the first 47 digits, only 3 of them are a few units wrong !

In other words, the original series converges incredibly slowly, granted, but the errors when you stop at $N$ terms are extremely predictable and easy to compute, so you can increase your accuracy 3-fold or 5-fold by using just one or two easily derived correction terms.

## Final notes

This empirical discovery, once made, can be substantiated by theory, and a nifty expression is arrived at which results in an asymptotic approximation to Pi based on the sum of the original series truncated to $N$ terms plus a 'correction' series (the asymptotic component) in negative powers of $N(1 / N, 1 / N 3$, etc) where the so-called Euler numbers are the coefficients.

Similar phenomena occur for constants other than Pi, for example similarly truncating the series:

```
Ln(2) = 1 - 1/2 + 1/3 - 1/4 + 1/5 - ...
```

results in:

```
Sum = 0.69314708055995530941723212125817656807551613436025525...
Ln(2) = 0.693147180559945309417232121458176568075500013436025525...
    1 -1 2
```

and another asymptotic series can be theoretically substantiated, the required coefficients being now the so called "tangent numbers" instead: 1, -1, 2, -16, $\ldots$

Thanks for your interest and many excellent posted contributions, hope you enjoyed yourselves while working them out."

And here's how all this is applied to the HP-41 in this module, a deceptively simple code that however encompasses the devilish wizardry so well explained in the previous pages:

The routine is deservedly labeled "VAPI", I'm sure you'll understand why.


The table of results is shown below. Note the small number of iterations needed for a good accuracy, proof of the very efficient algorithm used.

| N in X | Result |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 3.135415567 |
| 4 | 3.41331845 |
| 6 | 3.41555436 |
| 8 | 3.41583536 |
| 10 | 3.41589519 |
| 12 | 3.41591424 |
| 14 | 3.41592080 |
| 16 | 3.44592359 |
| 18 | 3.41592490 |
| 20 | 3.4159255 |



This concludes the first part of the manual. In the next section you'll find a short description of the MCODE and FOCAL programs to calculate many digits of pi and e.

## Many Digits of Pi. (by Peter Platzer, MoHPC Forum)

https://www.hpmuseum.org/cgi-sys/cgiwrap...587\#147587
The module includes the remarkable and impressive MCODE implementation of the Spigot algorithm by Peter Platzer, published in the Museum of HP Calculators forum. His description is available in the appendix, but here are the highlights:

The code asks for three inputs: The page where the MLDL ram starts to use, the number of digits and the base $b$ to use ( $\max =5$ for 5 digits at a time). One can set Flag 0 and the calc will stop at each group of digits and wait for a key to be pressed, otherwise it just keeps calculating ...

Setting Flag 1 will store the found digits in the same compressed format - each group of up to 5 digits is stored in 2 words, with the right nibble converted to hex. They are stored in reversed order though

In manual execution the function prompts for the number of digits to calculate (limited to 1999 by the prompt) and the destination page where to store them. This needs to be a q-RAM page to allow writes into it. The maximum number of digits is 4095 - which will fill up the page in its entirety.

The screens below show an example to calculate 1,046 digits to be stored in page B:


In an unmodified HP-41 it delivers 1,160 digits in about 9 hours 3,600 digits in about 4 days, and 4,915 digits in about 8 days. The chart below shows a comparison with the previous recordholding approaches described in the article.

; Many Digits of PI
; Spigot algorithm from Pi-book
; uses base $\mathrm{b}<=5$ to show 5 digits at a time
;Flag0 - wait for key press after each group is shown
;Flag1 - store result digits in reverse order from end (iStart)
;Input:
; $\mathrm{Z}: \mathrm{p}$ - page number of start of MLDL ram to use
; $Y: n$ - number of digits wanted
; X : base b in powers of 10
;-------------
; All Stack and Alpha is used for temp storage
; $3(\mathrm{X})$ : i in dec, 1 step $5(\mathrm{M})$ : orig iStart in hex and 2 step
; 2(Y): tmp 6(N): last addr in hex and 2 step
; 1(Z): iBits in dec, 1 step 7(0): iBits in hex, 2 step
; $4(\mathrm{~L})$ : iStart in dec, 1 step $8(\mathrm{P})$ : b|iStart in hex and 2 step
; $9(\mathrm{Q})$ : q - remainder $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{T})$ : page number in hex in $\mathrm{C}:[0]$
;------------
; All numbers are integers without exponent starting at C[0]
; User-Flag 0 -> wait for key press after each numbers shown. Stored in M-Flag 9

Extended precision: Pi to 1,000 places. (by Ron Knapp, PPCCJ V8N6 p69)
"Compute the first 1,000 decimal digits of Pi in less than 11 hours, 30 minutes". That was the friendly challenge put out by the PPC 'Journal", especially to members of the TI Personal Calculator Club, approximately a year ago. This challenge was repeated in the "Calcu-letter" of Popular Science Magazine, July 1981.

Up to the present time, I have heard of no serious attempts to eclipse this record. So,-- I decided to improve my own program. The program listed below computes Pi to 1,000 decimal places in just 8 hours, 30 minutes.

Ed. note: with $2 x$ machines, and some will run Faster, (fastest reported so far was Emett Ingram (17) at 2.8x) a 4 hour, 1,000 digit Pi program is the state of the PPC art. How long will it be before someone places 100,000 digits of Pi on a cassette? A printer on the HP-IL would take nearly 45 minutes to print it on 70 feet of paper at 20 digits per line, 2 lines per second.

The first 1.000 decimal places of Pi contains $930 \mathrm{~s}, 1161 \mathrm{~s}, 1032 \mathrm{~s}, 1023 \mathrm{~s}, 934 \mathrm{~s}, 975 \mathrm{~s}, 946 \mathrm{~s}, 95$ $7 \mathrm{~s}, 1018 \mathrm{~s}$, and 1069 s . Below is " 3 dot" followed by the first 1,000 decimals of Pi.
3.14159265358979323846264338327950288419716939937510582 0974944592307816406286208998628034825342117067982148086 5132823066470938446095505822317253594081284811174502841 0270193852110555964462294895493038196442881097566593344 6128475648233786783165271201909145648566923460348610454 3266482133936072602491412737245870066063155881748815209 2096282925409171536436789259036001133053054882046652138 4146951941511609433057270365759591953092186117381932611 7931051185480744623799627495673518857527248912279381830 1194912983367336244065664308602139494639522473719070217 9860943702770539217176293176752384674818467669405132000 5681271452635608277857713427577896091736371787214684409 0122495343014654958537105079227968925892354201995611212 9021960864034418159813629774771309960518707211349999998 3729780499510597317328160963185950244594553469083026425 2230825334468503526193118817101000313783875288658753320 8381420617177669147303598253490428755468731159562863882 3537875937519577818577805321712268066130019278766111959 092164201989

Program listing.-


| 145 | RCL 11 | 197 | ENTER^ | 249 | LASTX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 146 | ST+ 12 | 198 | GTO 09 | 250 | INT |
| 147 | RCL 12 | 199 | *LBL 08 | 251 | RCL 08 |
| 148 | RND | 200 | RCL 01 | 252 | * |
| 149 | STO 00 | 201 | ST/ Z | 253 | FRC |
| 150 | STO 03 | 202 | MOD | 254 | LASTX |
| 151 | SF 00 | 203 | X<>Y | 255 | INT |
| 152 | *LBL 05 | 204 | INT | 256 | ST+ IND 00 |
| 153 | RCL 02 | 205 | X<>Y | 257 | RDN |
| 154 | INT | 206 | RCL 04 | 258 | X < > Y |
| 155 | ENTER^ | 207 | ST* Z | 259 | RCL 05 |
| 156 | ENTER^ | 208 | * | 260 | ST* T |
| 157 | *LBL 02 | 209 | ENTER^ | 261 | ST* Z |
| 158 | 2 | 210 | *LBL 09 | 262 | * |
| 159 | - | 211 | RCL 01 | 263 | RCL 08 |
| 160 | ST* Z | 212 | ST/ Z | 264 | * |
| 161 | RCL 10 | 213 | MOD | 265 | FRC |
| 162 | ST* Z | 214 | RDN | 266 | X<>Y |
| 163 | X<>Y | 215 | INT | 267 | LASTX |
| 164 | * | 216 | + | 268 | INT |
| 165 | 2 | 217 | RCL IND 00 | 269 | $\mathrm{R}^{\wedge}$ |
| 166 | ST- L | 218 | - | 270 | + |
| 167 | CLX | 219 | $\mathrm{X}>0$ ? | 271 | RCL 05 |
| 168 | LASTX | 220 | GTO 02 | 272 | - |
| 169 | ST* T | 221 | DSE 00 | 273 | + |
| 170 | ST- Y | 222 | *LBL 03 | 274 | $\mathrm{X}>0$ ? |
| 171 | RDN | 223 | DSE IND 00 | 275 | ISG IND 00 |
| 172 | * | 224 | ISG 00 | 276 | $\mathrm{X}>0$ ? |
| 173 | $\mathrm{R}^{\wedge}$ | 225 | RCL 05 | 277 | GTO 03 |
| 174 | ST+ T | 226 | + | 278 | RCL 05 |
| 175 | X^2 | 227 | *LBL 02 | 279 | + |
| 176 | $\mathrm{R}^{\wedge}$ | 228 | STO IND 00 | 280 | *LBL 03 |
| 177 | + | 229 | $\mathrm{R}^{\wedge}$ | 281 | ISG 00 |
| 178 | + | 230 | RCL 04 | 282 | GTO 11 |
| 179 | FC? 00 | 231 | RCL04 | 283 | GTO "Q" |
| 180 | GTO 02 | 232 | ENTER^ | 284 | *LBL 04 |
| 181 | RCL 13 | 233 | ISG 00 | 285 | RCL 03 |
| 182 | * | 234 | GTO 08 | 286 | STO 00 |
| 183 | 3 | 235 | RCL 03 | 287 | RCL 10 |
| 184 | DSE 02 | 236 | STO 00 | 288 | X^2 |
| 185 | GTO 03 | 237 | FS? 00 | 289 | 3 |
| 186 | *LBL 02 | 238 | GTO 05 | 290 | $\mathrm{Y}^{\wedge} \mathrm{X}$ |
| 187 | RCL 07 | 239 | CLX | 291 | LASTX |
| 188 | * | 240 | ENTER^ | 292 | * |
| 189 | RCL 06 | 241 | DSE 02 | 293 | STO 08 |
| 190 | *LBL 03 | 242 | FS? 00 | 294 | CLX |
| 191 | X<>Y | 243 | GTO 04 | 295 | *LBL 13 |
| 192 | RDN | 244 | *LBL 11 | 296 | RCL IND 00 |
| 193 | , | 245 | X<> IND 00 | 297 | X < > Y |
| 194 | STO 01 | 246 | RCL 04 | 298 | RCL 04 |
| 195 | CLX | 247 | 1 | 299 | ST/ Z |
| 196 | $\mathrm{R}^{\wedge}$ | 248 | FRC | 300 | * |


| 301 | ENTER^ | 340 | RCL IND 00 | 379 | ISG 00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 302 | *LBL 02 | 341 | - | 380 | GTO 07 |
| 303 | RCL 08 | 342 | 0 | 381 | AVIEW |
| 304 | ST/ Z | 343 | X<>Y | 382 | RTN |
| 305 | MOD | 344 | $\mathrm{X}<0$ ? | 383 | *LBL 10 |
| 306 | $\mathrm{R}^{\wedge}$ | 345 | $\mathrm{X}>0$ ? | 384 | RCL IND 00 |
| 307 | INT | 346 | GTO 02 | 385 | RCL 04 |
| 308 | LASTX | 347 | RCL 05 | 386 | 1 |
| 309 | FRC | 348 | + | 387 | INT |
| 310 | RDN | 349 | DSE Y | 388 | LASTX |
| 311 | + | 350 | *LBL 02 | 389 | FRC |
| 312 | X<>Y | 351 | STO IND 00 | 390 | RCL 04 |
| 313 | INT | 352 | RDN | 391 | XEQ 12 |
| 314 | RCL 04 | 353 | DSE 03 | 392 | , |
| 315 | ST* T | 354 | DSE 00 | 393 | XEQ 12 |
| 316 | ST* Z | 355 | GTO 06 | 394 | RTN |
| 317 | * | 356 | BEEP | 395 | *LBL 12 |
| 318 | STO IND 00 | 357 | RTN | 396 | * |
| 319 | RDN | 358 | *LBL E | 397 | RCL Y |
| 320 | ENTER^ | 359 | SF 21 | 398 | $\mathrm{X}=0$ ? |
| 321 | *LBL 03 | 360 | CLA | 399 | GTO 03 |
| 322 | RCL 08 | 361 | FIX 0 | 400 | LOG |
| 323 | ST/ Z | 362 | 14.114 | 401 | INT |
| 324 | MOD | 363 | STO 00 | 402 | *LBL 03 |
| 325 | X<>Y | 364 | SF 29 | 403 | RCL 09 |
| 326 | INT | 365 | RCL IND 00 | 404 | X<>Y |
| 327 | ST+ IND 00 | 366 | ACX | 405 | $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y}$ ? |
| 328 | RDN | 367 | ADV | 406 | GTO 02 |
| 329 | + | 368 | CF 29 | 407 | - |
| 330 | ISG 00 | 369 | ISG 00 | 408 | 0 |
| 331 | GTO 13 | 370 | *LBL 07 | 409 | *LBL 14 |
| 332 | 114.013 | 371 | XEQ 10 | 410 | ARCL X |
| 333 | STO 00 | 372 | ISG 00 | 411 | DSE Y |
| 334 | 215 | 373 | FS? 00 | 412 | GTO 14 |
| 335 | STO 03 | 374 | RTN | 413 | *LBL 02 |
| 336 | CLX | 375 | " " | 414 | ARCL T |
| 337 | *LBL 06 | 376 | XEQ 10 | 415 | ACA |
| 338 | RCL IND 03 | 377 | ADV | 416 | CLA |
| 339 | + | 378 | CLA | 417 | END |

Extended precision: E to 2,900 places. (by Ron Knapp, PPCCJ V9N1 p12)
This program is an abbreviated version designed to compute the decimal places of " $e$ " to the greatest possible limit allowed in an HP-41CV or an HP-41C with a Quad Memory module. The program does the initialization including setting the SIZE to 294 data registers.

R01 shows the count-down number at all times. Originally this indicates the number of terms of the series necessary to obtain the accuracy desired. The number of terms yet to be computed is continuously displayed to allow the operator to know the progress of the computation. When the count-down number reaches zero the execution can proceed to the readout (or printout) routine, which displays 10 digits at a time, broken into two groups of five digits each, for easy reading. All leading and ending zeros are shown.

Instructions:
XEQ "E2900" Will take around 25 minutes at TURBO50 speed!
XEQ "R"
To see/Print the results

| 01 | LBL "R" | Readout results |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 02 | FIX 0 |  |
| 03 | CF 29 |  |
| 04 | "2," |  |
| 05 | AVIEW |  |
| 06 | 4 |  |
| 07 | ST+ 03 |  |
| 08 | LBL 06 |  |
| 09 | CLA |  |
| 10 | SF 01 |  |
| 11 | RCL IND 03 |  |
| 12 | E5 |  |
| 13 | l |  |
| 14 | FRC |  |
| 15 | LASTX |  |
| 16 | INT |  |
| 17 | LBL 07 |  |
| 18 | ENTER^ |  |
| 19 | ENTER^ |  |
| 20 | 4 |  |
| 21 | X<>T |  |
| 22 | X=0? |  |
| 23 | GTO 08 |  |
| 24 | LOG |  |


| 25 | INT |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 26 | - |  |
| 27 | 0 |  |
| 28 | X=Y? |  |
| 29 | GTO 09 |  |
| 30 | LBL 08 |  |
| 31 | ARCL X |  |
| 32 | DSE Y |  |
| 33 | GTO 08 |  |
| 34 | LBL 09 |  |
| 35 | ARCL Z |  |
| 36 | FC?C 01 |  |
| 37 | GTO 10 |  |
| 38 | "/- " |  |
| 39 | R^ |  |
| 40 | E5 spaces |  |
| 41 | $*$ |  |
| 42 | GTO 07 |  |
| 43 | LBL 10 |  |
| 44 | AVIEW |  |
| 45 | ISG 03 |  |
| 46 | GTO 06 |  |
| 47 | END |  |

Program listing. -

| 1 | *LBL 'E2900" | 47 | ST* Y | 93 | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 294 | 48 | $\mathrm{X}<>\mathrm{L}$ | 94 | ENTER^ |
| 3 | PSIZE | 49 | ST+ Y | 95 | R^ |
| 4 | CF 01 | 50 | ST+ L | 96 | ST/ Z |
| 5 | CF 02 | 51 | DSE Z | 97 | MOD |
| 6 | 4.004 | 52 | GTO 03 | 98 | LASTX |
| 7 | STO 00 | 53 | * | 99 | RDN |
| 8 | 1112 | 54 | + | 100 | X<>Y |
| 9 | STO 01 | 55 | *LBL 04 | 101 | INT |
| 10 | E | 56 | E5 | 102 | ST+ IND 00 |
| 11 | STO 03 | 57 | * | 103 | CLX |
| 12 | . 293 | 58 | ENTER^ | 104 | + |
| 13 | STO 03 | 59 | $\mathrm{R}^{\wedge}$ | 105 | + |
| 14 | *LBLe | 60 | ST/ Z | 106 | ISG 00 |
| 15 | RCL 01 | 61 | MOD | 107 | GTO 04 |
| 16 | ENTER^ | 62 | X<>Y | 108 | X<>Y |
| 17 | VIEW X | 63 | INT | 109 | 1 |
| 18 | DSE 01 | 64 | E5 | 110 | RND |
| 19 | E10 | 65 | $\mathrm{X}>\mathrm{Y}$ ? | 111 | E |
| 20 | X<> Y | 66 | GTO 05 | 112 | ST- 00 |
| 21 | ISG Z | 67 | / | 113 | X<>Y |
| 22 | *LBL 00 | 68 | INT | 114 | ST+ IND 00 |
| 23 | RCL 01 | 69 | E | 115 | R^ |
| 24 | X<>Y | 70 | ST- 00 | 116 | E-10 |
| 25 | * | 71 | X<>Y | 117 | * |
| 26 | $\mathrm{X}>\mathrm{Y}$ ? | 72 | ST+ IND 00 | 118 | ST* 02 |
| 27 | X $>$ Y | 73 | RDN | 119 | RCL 02 |
| 28 | DSE 01 | 74 | ST+ 00 | 120 | LASTX |
| 29 | GTO 00 | 75 | CLX | 121 | $\mathrm{X}>\mathrm{Y}$ ? |
| 30 | SF 01 | 76 | LASTX | 122 | SF 02 |
| 31 | ENTER^ | 77 | FRC | 123 | FS? 02 |
| 31 32 | *LBLER 01 | 78 | E5 | 124 | ST/ 02 |
| 32 | *LBL 01 | 79 | * | 125 | E-3 |
| 33 | R^ | 80 | LASTX | 126 | RCL 00 |
| 34 | LASTX | 81 | *LBL 05 | 127 | FRC |
| 35 | X<>Y | 82 | LBL 05 | 128 | FC?C 02 |
| 36 | RCL 01 | 83 | X<> IND 00 | 129 | + |
| 37 | 3 | 84 | LASTX | 130 | RCL 03 |
| 38 | FC? 01 | 85 | LASTX | 131 | $\mathrm{X}<\mathrm{Y}$ ? |
| 39 | DSE X | 86 | INT | 132 | X<>Y |
| 40 | *LBL 02 | 87 | ST+ Y | 133 | RDN |
| 41 | + | 88 | X<> L | 134 | 4 |
| 42 | - | 89 | FRC | 135 | + |
| 43 | E | 90 | X<>Y | 136 | STO 00 |
| 44 | ENTER^ | 91 | E5 | 137 | FC?C 01 |
| 45 | *LBL 03 | 92 | ST* Z | 138 | GTO e |
| 46 | X<> L |  |  | 139 | END |



## Extended precision for Pi. (by Benoit Maag)

This section is a reproduction of the original article in the museum forum, see:

## https://www.hpmuseum.org/forum/post-139434.html\#pid139434

## HP-41C Program / 41CL - DM41X <br> (X-functions only needed for memory sizing)

The program uses the formula: $\quad \pi=2+1 / 3^{*}\left(2+2 / 5^{*}\left(2+3 / 7^{*}(2+\ldots\right.\right.$
n decimal precision obtained after $\operatorname{INT}(\mathrm{n} / \log (2))$ iterations

Data stored as $x x x x x . x x x x x$ - calculations done with 5 digits at a time. The fractional and integer part of the store number are separated and processed separately. The program is longer and slower as a result but memory use is maximized. Every iteration of $i$ runs the multiplication by i from Rmax down to $R 03$ and then the division by $2 i+1$ from R03 to Rmax.

## Memory Usage

R00: indirect addressing register
R01: i, starting at $\operatorname{INT}(\mathrm{n} / \log (2))$ and decreasing to 1
R02: number of last register of data
R03: x.xxxxx
R04 = Rmax: $x x x x x . x x x x x \quad$ ( Rmax: last register of data )

## Instructions

Nb of decimals desired (multiple of 10) XEQ "PI"
When the program ends (with a BEEP), the approximation of is stored in $R 03 \sim R m a x-n b$ of decimals $=$ number of decimals desired +5

Benckmarking:-
Notable absence is the V41 - TURBO test case, which of course will perform as good as the hosting PC machine is capable of performing.

Starting with the plain configuration:

HP-41C

| \# of Digits | \# of iteration | \# of registers | Time | Time (s) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15 | 49 | 2 | $5 \min 46 \mathrm{~s}$ | 346 s |
| 25 | 83 | 3 | $14 \min 15 \mathrm{~s}$ | 855 s |
| 45 | 149 | 5 |  |  |
| 105 | 348 | 11 | 3 hrs 28 min 49 s | $12,529 \mathrm{~s}$ |

HP-41CL - TURBO50 Mode

| \# of Digits | \# of iteration | \# of registers | Time | Time (s) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15 | 49 | 2 | 23 s | 23 s |
| 25 | 83 | 3 | 54 s | 54 s |
| 45 | 149 | 5 | $2 \min 32 \mathrm{~s}$ | 152 s |
| 105 | 348 | 11 | 12 min 21 s | 741 s |
| 255 | 847 | 26 | $1 \mathrm{hr} 09 \min 25 \mathrm{~s}$ | $4,165 \mathrm{~s}$ |

SWISSMICROS DM41X - Battery Power ( ${ }^{*}$ )

| \# of Digits | \# of iteration | \# of registers | Time | Time (s) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15 | 49 | 2 | 28 s | 28 s |
| 25 | 83 | 3 | $1 \min 6 \mathrm{~s}$ | 66 s |
| 45 | 149 | 5 | $3 \min 6 \mathrm{~s}$ | 186 s |
| 105 | 348 | 11 | $15 \min 9 \mathrm{~s}$ | 909 s |
| 255 | 847 | 26 | $1 \mathrm{hr} 25 \min 16 \mathrm{~s}$ | $5,116 \mathrm{~s}$ |

(*) printer module unplugged

SWISSMICROS DM41X - USB Power (*)

| \# of Digits | \# of iteration | \# of registers | Time | Time $(\mathrm{s})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15 | 49 | 2 | 12 s | 12 s |
| 25 | 83 | 3 | 26 s | 26 s |
| 45 | 149 | 5 | $1 \min 9 \mathrm{~s}$ | 69 s |
| 105 | 348 | 11 | $5 \min 23 \mathrm{~s}$ | 323 s |
| 255 | 847 | 26 | $29 \min 27 \mathrm{~s}$ | $1,767 \mathrm{~s}$ |

$\left(^{*}\right)$ printer module unplugged

Note: the printer module on the DM41X slows down the calculation significantly. For example, the calculation of 15 digits takes 74 seconds with the printer module plugged in, and just 28 seconds without it

Program Listing


## Pi Decimals for the HP-41 (by Jean-Marc Baillard) http://hp4lprograms.yolasite.com/pi.php

## Overview

You place a positive integer n < 319 in the X-register, and your HP-41 returns 5.n decimals of PI , that is 5 -digits per register up to 319 registers max or 1,595 digits.

## Formula:

$$
\pi=2+(1 / 3)(2+(1 / 5)(2+(3 / 7)(2+\ldots \ldots .(2+k /(k+1)) \ldots .)))
$$

## Program Listing

## 125 bytes / SIZE nnn+1

Data Registers: $\quad \mathrm{R} 00=\mathrm{n}$;
$\{\mathrm{R} 01 \ldots \mathrm{Rnn}\}=$ the decimals of PI in groups of 5 digits.
Flags: /
Subroutines: /

| 01 LBL "PIDIG" | 17 E5 | 33 | + | 49 | MOD | 65 | STO IND Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02 CLRG | 18 STO O | 34 | STO P | 50 | ST- $Y$ | 66 | RDN |
| 03 STO 00 | 19 ISG N | 35 | MOD | 51 | $X<>Y$ | 67 | ISG Y |
| 045 | 20 LBL 01 | 36 | ST- 01 | 52 | LASTX | 68 | GTO 02 |
| 05 * | 21 RCLM | 37 | LASTX | 53 | / | 69 | DSE N |
| 062 | 22 RCL O | 38 | ST/ 01 | 54 | RCL O | 70 | GTO 01 |
| 07 LOG | $23 \mathrm{ST}+\mathrm{X}$ | 39 | CLX | 55 | $\mathrm{ST}^{*} \mathrm{Z}$ | 71 | E5 |
| 08 / | 24 RCL 01 | 40 | RCL O | 56 | $X>Y$ ? | 72 | ST+ 01 |
| 09 INT | $25+$ | 41 | * | 57 | GTO 03 | 73 | ST+ 01 |
| 10 STO N | 26 RCL N | 42 | LBL 02 | 58 | ST- Y | 74 | ST/ 01 |
| 112 | $27^{*}$ | 43 | RCL IND Y | 59 | SIGN | 75 | RCL 00 |
| 12 RCL 00 | 28 STO 01 | 44 | RCL N | 60 | ST- T | 76 | 0.1 |
| 13 E3 | 29 LASTX | 45 | * | 61 | ST+ IND T | 77 | \% |
| 14 / | $30 \mathrm{ST}+\mathrm{X}$ | 46 | + | 62 | $\mathrm{ST}+\mathrm{T}$ | 78 | ISG X |
| $15+$ | 31 ENTER | 47 | RCL X | 63 | LBL 03 | 79 | CLA |
| 16 STO M | 32 SIGN | 48 | RCL P | 64 | RDN | 80 | END |


| STACK | INPUT | OUTPUT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | $\mathrm{n}<319$ | $1 . \mathrm{nnn}$ |

## Example1: Calculate $5 \times 8=40$ decimals of PI

$$
8, \text { XEQ "PIDIG" =>>> } 1.008 \quad---E x e c u t i o n ~ t i m e ~=11 \mathrm{~m} 14 \mathrm{~s}---
$$

-And we find in registers R01 thru R08: ( add zeros on the left if need be )

$$
3.1415926535897932384626433832795028841971
$$

All these decimals are exact !

## Example2: Calculate $5 \times 318=1590$ decimals of PI

SIZE 319
318 XEQ "PIDIG" =>>>> 1.318 ---Execution time = 27m20s---
With V41 in Turbo Mode
And we get in registers R01 thru R318: ( add zeros on the left if need be )
3.14159265358979323846264338327950288419716939937510582097494459230781640628620899862803482534211 70679821480865132823066470938446095505822317253594081284811174502841027019385211055596446229489 54930381964428810975665933446128475648233786783165271201909145648566923460348610454326648213393 60726024914127372458700660631558817488152092096282925409171536436789259036001133053054882046652 13841469519415116094330572703657595919530921861173819326117931051185480744623799627495673518857 52724891227938183011949129833673362440656643086021394946395224737190702179860943702770539217176 29317675238467481846766940513200056812714526356082778577134275778960917363717872146844090122495 34301465495853710507922796892589235420199561121290219608640344181598136297747713099605187072113 49999998372978049951059731732816096318595024459455346908302642522308253344685035261931188171010 00313783875288658753320838142061717766914730359825349042875546873115956286388235378759375195778 18577805321712268066130019278766111959092164201989380952572010654858632788659361533818279682303 01952035301852968995773622599413891249721775283479131515574857242454150695950829533116861727855 88907509838175463746493931925506040092770167113900984882401285836160356370766010471018194295559 61989467678374494482553797747268471040475346462080466842590694912933136770289891521047521620569 66024058038150193511253382430035587640247496473263914199272604269922796782354781636009341721641 21992458631503028618297455570674983850549458858692699569092721079750930295532116534498720275596 0236480665499119881834797753566369807426542527862551818417574672890977

## The Decimals of PI/E for the HP-41 (by Eckard Gehrke)

This section is a direct translation from the relevant sections of the chapter in the book "HP-41
Samm/ung', pages 65, 66 and following. Vieweg Programmbibliothek \#23.

### 3.3 The calculator program

The HP-41CV programmable calculator is used for the calculation. The HP-41 works with the RPN system, which is based on a bracket-free representation of all operations.

The HP-41 cannot define variables. It has numbered memories. A call is made with RCL nm, with a STO nm the number a is stored in register nm. For the used R00 holds i, R01 and R02 are needed for the loop counter j. 0 is stored in R03 and DR in R04. The following 81 memories R05-R85 form ["R1"]

In these registers the successive elements are summed up to the registers R86-R166 (R2) take in (b n), the division with $D$ is handled in the registers R167-R247.

The addressing of these registers is done indirectly with R 01 and R 02 . For the subroutines addition and subtraction, the registers of R1 are called with RCL IND 01, those of R3 with RCL IND 02. The calculation of R 01 and $R 02$ is done in the subroutine loop counter. Only R 01 is needed for division. The register R0 (J) takes the remainder Registers. Register M ( [ ) and N ( $\backslash$ ) are intended for ["M"] and ["N"] respectively.

The HP-41 can only jump to marks ("labels"). These are indicated in the diagrams with circles. For the labels NFG, ADD, DIV and SUB the labels 02, 03, 06 and A are used. Subroutines are executed with XEQ. On an RTN, the computer returns to the line following the subroutine call. Simple jumps are made with GTO.

For questions answered "no", the computer skips a line. The loop control is done with ISG and DSE. For i the result is: R 00 has the initial value $1.081(a, b)$. If the computer comes to an ISG instruction, $a$ is increased by 1: 2.081. If $a>b$, the computer skips one line. With a DSE instruction, $a$ is decreased by 1 . If $a<b$, one line is line is skipped.

With the help of lines 02-05 the calculator shows during the calculation
"PI=?" in the display. The rest of the program can be with the diagrams and the remarks on the basis of the commented program printout. program printout. To save memory space during the calculation, the output program has been separated. First the last digit is rounded, with LBL 00 the output begins the output. A diagram is not given for this.

With SIZE 248 the memory registers are reserved. The display format must be set to FIX 0 . With XEQTPI the program is started. In the following 33.4 hours the HP-41 calculates 800 decimals of pi. For this purpose, 580 subsequent elements an/D and 180 subsequent elements bn/D are calculated. The calculator switches on.

The number Pi program is then switched off. After switching on, SIZE 087 is used to create memory space for the output program. After reading in it is started with XEQ "OUT". When the printer is switched on, the following result is obtained:

Pi accurate to 800 decimals

| 3,141592653 | 0454326648 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5897932384 | 2133936072 |
| 6264338327 | 6024914127 |
| 9502884197 | 3724587006 |
| 1693993751 | 6063155881 |
| 0582097494 | 7488152092 |
| 4592307816 | 0962829254 |
| 4062862089 | 0917153643 |
| 9862803482 | 6789259036 |
| 5342117067 | 0011330530 |
| 9821480865 | 5488204665 |
| 1328236664 | 2138414695 |
| 7693844609 | 1941511609 |
| 5505822317 | 4330572703 |
| 2535940812 | 6575959195 |
| 8481117450 | 3092186117 |
| 2841027019 | 3819326117 |
| 3852110555 | 9310511854 |
| 9644622948 | 8074462379 |
| 9549303819 | 9627495673 |
| 6442881097 | 5188575272 |
| 5665933446 | 4891227938 |
| 1284756482 | 1830119491 |
| 3378678316 | 2983367336 |
| 5271201909 | 2440656643 |
| 1456485669 | 0860213949 |
| 2346034861 | 4639522473 |

7190702179 8609437027 7053921717 6293176752 3846748184 6766940513 2000568127 1452635648 2778577134 2757789609 1736371787 2146844090 1224953430 1465495853 7105079227 9689258923 5420199561 1212902196 0864034418 1598136297 7477130996
0518707211
3499999983 7297804995 1059731732
8160963186

## The Number e

Let $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{n})=\Sigma 1 / \mathrm{k}$ !, with $\mathrm{k}=0$ to n .
Then $|\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{n})|<\varepsilon$ is valid with $\varepsilon=(\mathrm{n}+2) /(\mathrm{n}+1) /(\mathrm{n}+1)$ !. For $\varepsilon=10^{\wedge}(-3002)$ one obtains $\mathrm{n}=1143$.
If one modifies the indicated procedure, one can achieve with the following algorithm that only the division subroutine and a register block are required.

The register assignment was made as follows: R00-R301 (R1) contain e. The index j is stored in M ( $[$ ), the divisor $\mathrm{DR}=\mathrm{n}$ in $\mathrm{N}(\backslash)$. $\mathrm{R} 0(\mathrm{~J})$ takes up the remainder RE . The registers $\mathrm{P}(\wedge)$ and a serve as temporary storage.

After a SIZE 302 the program can be started with XEQ "ZAHLE". After 6d 8h 24min the calculation is finished. The program OUT serves as output program. It can be loaded into the computer only after the program ZAHLE has been deleted. The addresses must be adapted to the register assignments. It results for $\mathrm{e}:=2,718281828$.

Program listing.

| 01*LBL "PIZHAL" | 47 XEQ 02 | 93 RND | $139+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02248 | 48 XEQ 03 | 94 E1 | 140 LASTX |
| 03 PSIZE | 49 RCL 00 | 95 * | 141 - |
| 04 "PI=?" | 5085 | $96 \mathrm{X}<>\mathrm{Y}$ | 142 E |
| 05 RCL d | $51+$ | 97 E1 | $143 \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y}$ ? |
| 06 AVIEW | 52 RCL IND X | 98 * | 144 ST- IND 01 |
| 07 STO d | 53 XHO ? | 99 - | 145 RCL T |
| 08 CLRG | 54 GTO 01 | 100 ST- IND 01 | $146 \mathrm{X}<>0$ |
| 09 SF 00 | 55*LBL 02 | 101 SF 02 | 147 E10 |
| 10 E | 56 SF 01 | 102*LBL 05 | 148 * |
| 11 STO 03 | 57 XEQ 06 | 103 E | 149 RCL 04 |
| 128 E10 | 58 CF 21 | 104 ST- 01 | 150 MOD |
| 13 STO 86 | 59 RCL 03 | 1050 | 151 ST+ O |
| 141.081 | 60 STO 04 | 106 STO IND 02 | 152 RCL 04 |
| 15 STO 00 | 61 XEQ 06 | 107 DSE 02 | 153 / |
| 16*LBL 00 | 622 | 108 GTO 04 | 154 RCL N |
| 1725 | 63 ST+ 03 | 109 RTN | 155 + |
| 18 STO 04 | 64 RTN | 110*LBL 06 | 156 LASTX |
| 19 XEQ 02 | 65*LBL 03 | 111 CLA | 157 - |
| 20 XEQ 03 | 66 CF 02 | 112166.166 | 158 E |
| 2125 | 67 XEQ B | 113 RCL 00 | $159 \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y}$ ? |
| 22 STO 04 | 68*LBL 04 | 114 FS? 01 | 160 ST- IND 01 |
| 23 XEQ 02 | 600 | 11585.085 | 161 RCL O |
| 24 XEQ A | 70 FS ?C 02 | 116 + | 162 RCL 04 |
| 25 RCL 00 | 71 E | 117 STO 01 | $163 \mathrm{X}>\mathrm{Y}$ ? |
| 2685 | 72 ST+ IND 02 | 118*LBL 07 | 164 GTO 08 |
| 27 + | 73 RCL IND 02 | 119 RCL IND 01 | 165 MOD |
| 28 RCL IND X | 74 RCL IND 01 | 120 RCL 04 | 166 STO O |
| 29 XHO ? | 75 STO M | 121 / | 167 E |
| 30 GTO 00 | 76 + | 122 INT | 168 ST+ IND 01 |
| 31 ISG 00 | 77 STO IND 01 | 123 STO M | 160*LBL 08 |
| 32 GTO 00 | 78 E10 | 124 RCL O | 170 FC? 01 |
| 33 CF 00 | $79 \mathrm{X}>\mathrm{Y}$ ? | 125 E10 | 171 GTO 10 |
| 34 E | 80 GTO 05 | 126 * | 172 FC? 00 |
| 35 STO 03 | 81 ST- IND 01 | 127 RCL 04 | 173 GTO 09 |
| 369.56 E11 | 82 RCL IND 02 | 128 / | 174 RCL IND 01 |
| 37 STO 86 | 83 E1 | 129 INT | 175 X\#0? |
| 381.081 | 84 / | 130 STO N | 176 GTO 09 |
| 39 STO 80 | 85 FRC | $131+$ | 177 FS?C 02 |
| 40*LBL 01 | 86 RCL M | 132 X<> IND 01 | 178 RTN |
| 4157121 | 87 E1 | 133 RCL 04 | 179 SF 02 |
| 42 STO 04 | 88 / | 134 MOD | 180*LBL 09 |
| 43 XEQ 02 | 89 FRC | 135 STO Z | 181 RCL 01 |
| 44 XEQ A | $90+$ | 136 RCL 04 | 18281 |
| 4557121 | 91 FRC | 137 / | 183 + |
| 46 STO 04 | 92 ENTER^$^{\wedge}$ | 138 RCL M | 184 RCL IND 01 |


| 185 STO IND Y | 1960 | 207 ST+ IND 01 | 218 RCL 00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 186*LBL 10 | 197 FS?C 02 | 208 SF 02 | 219 INT |
| 187 ISG 01 | 198 E | 209*LBL 12 | 220 E3 |
| 188 GTO 07 | 199 - | 210 E | 221 / |
| 189 RTN | 200 RCL IND 02 | 211 ST- 01 | 22285.003 |
| 190*LBL A | 201 - | 2120 | 223 + |
| 191 CF 02 | 202 STO IND 01 | 213 STO IND 02 | 224 STO 01 |
| 192 XEQ B | 2030 | 214 DSE 02 | 225162.162 |
| 193*LBL 11 | $204 \mathrm{X}<=\mathrm{Y}$ ? | 215 GTO 11 | 226 + |
| 194 RCL IND 01 | 205 GTO 12 | 216 RTN | 227 STO 02 |
| 195 FC? 02 | 206 E10 | 217*LBL B | 228 END |


| 01*LBL "EZHAL" | 32 MOD | 63 MOD | $16 \mathrm{E9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02302 | 33 STO Z | 64 STO O | 17 / |
| 03 PSIZE | 34 RCL N | 65 E | 18 ARCL X |
| 04 CLRG | 35 / | 66 ST+ IND M | 19 AVIEW |
| 051143 | 36 RCL ^ | 67*LBL 02 | 20 FIX 0 |
| 06 STO N | $37+$ | 68 ISG [ | 21 CF 29 |
| 07 E | 38 LASTX | 69 GTO 01 | 226.084 |
| 08 STO 00 | 39 - | 70 E | 23 STO T |
| 09*LBL 00 | 40 E | 71 ST+ 00 | 24*LBL 01 |
| 10.301 | $41 \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y}$ ? | 72 ST- \} | 25 RCLT |
| 11 STO M | 42 ST- IND M | 73 RCL \} | 26 STO T |
| 120 | 43 RCL T | $74 \mathrm{X}>0$ ? | 27 CLA |
| 13 STO O | $44 \mathrm{X}<>0$ | 75 GTO 00 | 28 "0000" |
| 14*LBL 01 | 45 E10 | 76 OFF | 29 ARCL IND T |
| 15 RCL IND M | 46 * | 77 END | 30 RCL M |
| 16 RCL N | 47 RCL N |  | 310 |
| 17 / | 48 MOD | 01*LBL "OUT" | 32 STO M |
| 18 INT | $49 \mathrm{ST}+0$ | 02 RCL 85 | 33 "^^^^^" |
| 19 E10 | 50 RCL N | $03 \mathrm{E9}$ | 34 STO O |
| $20 \mathrm{X}<>\mathrm{Y}$ | $51 /$ | 04 / | 35 "^^^^" |
| 21 STO P | 52 RCL a | 05 INT | 36 RCL O |
| $22 \mathrm{X}<>\mathrm{Y}$ | $53+$ | 064 | 37 CLA |
| 23 RCL M | 54 LASTX | $07 \mathrm{X}>\mathrm{Y}$ ? | 38 STO M |
| 24 * | 55 - | 08 GTO 00 | 39 "^^^^^^^^" |
| 25 RCL N | 56 E | $09 \mathrm{E9}$ | $40 \mathrm{X}<>\mathrm{Z}$ |
| 26 / | $57 \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y}$ ? | 10 ST+ 84 | 41 STO M |
| 27 INT | 58 ST- IND M | 11*LBL 00 | 42 AVIEW |
| 28 STO a | 59 RCL O | 12 CF 28 | 43 ISG T |
| $29+$ | 60 RCL N | 13 FIX 9 | 44 GTO 01 |
| $30 \mathrm{X}<>$ IND M | $61 \mathrm{X}>\mathrm{Y}$ ? | 14 CLA | 45 CLST |
| 31 RCL N | 62 GTO 02 | 15 RCL 05 | 46 END |

Appendix. A few MCODE Listings.

1. Liu Hui formula.

2. Ramanujan 10-digit formula.

| Header | ACBB | OBO | "0" |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Header | ACBC | $\bigcirc 31$ | "1" | Ramanuian Approximation |
| Header | ACBD | 001 | "A" | correct to 10 decimal digits |
| Header | ACBE | OOD | "M" |  |
| Header | ACBF | $\bigcirc 01$ | "A" |  |
| Header | ACCO | 012 | "R" | Ángel Martin |
| RAMA10 | ACC1 | 18C | ?FSET 11 |  |
|  | ACC2 | SB5 | ?CXQ | Stack lift |
|  | ACC3 | 051 | $\rightarrow 14 E D$ | [R_SUB] |
|  | ACC4 | 2 AO | SETDEC |  |
|  | ACC5 | 04E | $\mathrm{C}=0 \mathrm{ALL}$ |  |
|  | ACC6 | 35C | $\mathrm{PT}=12$ |  |
|  | ACC7 | ODO | LD@PT-3 | $C=3 E-4$ |
|  | ACC8 | 130 | LDI S\&X |  |
|  | ACC9 | 096 | CON: |  |
|  | ACCA | $2 \mathrm{B6}$ | $\mathrm{C}=-\mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{XS}$ |  |
|  | ACCB | 10E | A $=$ C ALL |  |
|  | ACCC | 04E | $\mathrm{C}=0 \mathrm{ALL}$ |  |
|  | ACCD | 2BE | $\mathrm{C}=-\mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{MS}$ |  |
|  | ACCE | 35 C | $\mathrm{PT}=12$ |  |
|  | ACCF | ODO | LD@PT-3 |  |
|  | ACDO | 150 | LD@PT-5 | $C=-3533$ |
|  | ACD1 | ODO | LD@PT-3 |  |
|  | ACD2 | ODO | LD@PT-3 |  |
|  | ACD3 | 130 | LDI S\&X |  |
|  | ACD4 | 003 | CON: |  |
|  | ACD5 | 261 | ?NCXQ |  |
|  | ACD6 | 060 | ->1898 | [DV2 10 ] |
|  | ACD7 | 001 | ?NCXQ |  |
|  | ACD8 | \%60 | ->1800 | [ADDONEI |
|  | ACD9 | 04E | C=0 ALL |  |
|  | ACDA | 130 | LDI S\&X | $C=355$ |
|  | ACDB | 355 | CON: |  |
|  | ACDC | 07C | RCR 4 |  |
|  | ACDD | 13 D | ? $N$ C XQ |  |
|  | ACDE | 1060 | ->184F | [MP1_10] |
|  | ACDF | 04E | C=0 ALL |  |
|  | ACEO | 130 | LDI S\&X | $C=113$ |
|  | ACE1 | 113 | CON: |  |
|  | ACE2 | 07C | RCR 4 |  |
|  | ACE3 | 269 | PNC XQ |  |
|  | ACE4 | 1060 | $->189 \mathrm{~A}$ | [DV1 10] |
|  | ACE5 | OE8 | WRIT 3(X) |  |
|  | ACE6 | 3C1 | ?NC GO | Normal Function Return |
|  | ACE7 | O02 | $\rightarrow$ OOFO | [NFRPU] |

3. Viete's Formula. (next page)

## HP- PIE MODULE QRG


4. From Pi to e.


HP- PIE MODULE QRG

|  | A85B | 121 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PNC XQ } \\ & ->1 B 48 \end{aligned}$ | [LN13] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A85D | 089 | ?NCXQ |  |
|  | A85E | \%64 | ->1922 | [STSCR] |
|  | A85F | OOE | A $=0 \mathrm{ALL}$ | clears MS and S\&X |
|  | A860 | 269 | ? $N C$ XQ | Puts $p / 2$ in $\{M, C\}$ |
|  | A861 | 064 | ->199A | [PI/2] |
|  | A862 | 1EE | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{C}$ ALL | pi in $\{M, C\}$ |
|  | A863 | OEE | B $\gg$ C ALL | moves it over to $B$ |
|  | A864 | 239 | ? NC XQ | 1/ $\pi$ |
|  | A865 | O60 | $\rightarrow 188 \mathrm{E}$ | ION/X13 |
|  | A866 | OD1 | ? $N C$ XQ |  |
|  | A867 | 064 | ->1934 | [RCSCR] |
|  | A868 | 149 | PNCXQ |  |
|  | A869 | O60 | ->1852 | [MP2-13] |
|  | A86A | 035 | ? ${ }^{2}$ C XQ | final result |
|  | A86B | ,068 | $->1 A 0 D$ | [EXP13] |
|  | A86C | OE8 | WRIT 3(X) |  |
|  | A86D | $3 \mathrm{C1}$ | ?NC GO | Normal Function Return |
|  | A86E | O02 | ->00FO | [NFRPU] |
|  | A86F | 000 | NOP |  |
| INIT | A870 | 18C | ?FSET 11 |  |
|  | A871 | $3 B 5$ | ? $C \times Q$ | Stack lift |
|  | A872 | 051 | $\rightarrow 14 E D$ | [R_SUB] |
|  | A873 | 2 Ag | ?NCXQ | Show "RUNNING" - leaves F8 as-i |
|  | A874 | 13 C | - 3 4FAA | [RUNMSG] |
|  | A875 | 1 AO | $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{C}=0$ | zero trinity |
|  | A876 | 070 | $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}$ ALL | $\mathrm{k}=0$ |
|  | A877 | 2AO | SETDEC |  |
|  | A878 | 001 | ?NCGO | iniital sum = 1 |
|  | A879 | 062 | ->1800 | [ADDONEI |


| TOLER4 | TOLER4 | 4AD4 | 01 E | A $=0 \mathrm{MS}$ | absolute value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4AD5 | 2A0 | SETDEC |  |
| expects error value stored |  | 4AD6 | 04E | $\mathrm{C}=0 \mathrm{ALL}$ | $C=-1 \mathrm{E}-9$ |
| in $\{A, B\}$ in 13-digit form |  | 4AD7 | 2BE | $\mathrm{C}=-\mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{MS}$ |  |
|  |  | 4AD8 | 35 C | PT=12 |  |
| TOLER4 |  | 4AD9 | 050 | LD@PT-1 |  |
| TOLER4 |  | 4ADA | 266 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-1$ S\& x |  |
| TOLER4 |  | 4ADB | 39 C | $\mathrm{PT}=0$ |  |
| TOLER4 |  | 4ADC | 050 | LD@PT-1 |  |
| TOLER4 |  | 4ADD | O25 | ?NCGO |  |
| TOLER4 |  | 4ADE | Y62 | $\rightarrow 1809$ | [AD1 10] |

5. Wallis Formula (next page)

## HP- PIE MODULE QRG


5. From e to pi

| Header <br> Header <br> Header <br> Header | A87A <br> A87B <br> A87C <br> A87D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { V089 } \\ & \mathbf{V}_{010} \\ & \mathbf{V}_{032} \\ & \mathbf{V}^{205} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { " } " \\ \text { "P" } \\ " 2 " \\ " "^{\prime \prime} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Ángel Martin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E2PI | A87E | 379 | PORT DEP: | shows "RUNNING"and init vars |
|  | A87F | O3C | $X Q$ | 1 in $\{A, B\}, O$ in $N$ |
|  | A880 | 070 | ->A870 | IINITI-- lifts stack, sets DEC |
|  | A881 | 035 | ?NCXQ |  |
|  | A882 | 068 | $\rightarrow 1 A 0 D$ | [EXP13] |
|  | A883 | OE8 | WRIT 3 (X) | 10-digit e |
|  | A884 | 089 | ?NCXQ | e as 13-digit value |
|  | A885 | 064 | ->1922 | [STSCRI |
|  | A886 | 001 | ?NCXQ | $e+1$ |
|  | A887 | 060 | ->1800 | LADDONEI |
|  | A888 | OA9 | ?NCXQ | $e$ |
|  | A889 | O64 | $->192 \mathrm{~A}$ | [EXSCR] - $\{A, B\} \leqslant->\{Q+\}$ |
|  | A88A | 009 | ?NCXQ | $e-1$ in $\{A, B\}$ |
|  | A88B | 060 | ->1802 | SSUBONEI |
|  | A88C | OD1 | ?NCXQ | $e+1$ to $\{C, M\}$ |
|  | A88D | 064 | ->1934 | [RCSCR] |
|  | A88E | 275 | ?NCXQ | (e-1)/(e+1) |
|  | A88F | 060 | ->189D | [DV2-13] |
|  | A890 | 070 | $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CALL}$ | required by [ATAN1] |
|  | A891 | 13 C | $S T=0$ | skips [TRGSET] |
|  | A892 | \% 048 | SETF 4 | result in RAD |
|  | A893 | 205 | ?NCXQ | it uses [SCR] as well |
|  | A894 | 040 | $\rightarrow 1081$ | IATAN11. |
|  | A895 | 2BE | $\mathrm{C}=-\mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{MS}$ | sign change |
|  | A896 | 070 | $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}$ ALL | store it in N |
|  | A897 | OF8 | READ 3(X) | $e$ |
|  | A898 | OFO | $C<>N$ ALL | required by [ATAN1] |
|  | A899 | OE8 | WRIT 3(X) |  |
|  | A89A | OBO | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N} A L L$ |  |
|  | A89B | , 3C4 | $S T=0$ | skips [TRGSET] |
|  | A89C | 048 | SETF 4 | result in RAD |
|  | A89D | 205 | ?NCXQ | it uses [SCR] as well |
|  | A89E | 040 | ->1081 | [ATAN1] |
|  | A89F | 11E | $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{C} M \mathrm{~S}$ | bug or what? |
|  | A8A0 | OF8 | READ 3(X) |  |
|  | A8A1 | 025 | ?NCXQ | 2+result(k) |
|  | A8A2 | 060 | ->1809 | [AD1-10] |
|  | A8A3 | 04E | $\mathrm{C}=0 \mathrm{ALL}$ |  |
|  | A8A4 | 35 C | $\mathrm{PT}=12$ | $C=4$ |
|  | A8A5 | 110 | LD@PT-4 |  |
|  | A8A6 | 13 D | ?NC XQ |  |
|  | A8A7 | 060 | ->184F | [MP1 10] |
|  | A8A8 | OE8 | WRIT 3 (X) |  |
|  | A8A9 | $3 C 1$ | ?NC GO | Normal Function Return |
|  | A8AA | O02 | $\rightarrow$ OOFO | [NFRPU] |

6. Erdós-Borwein constant.

